Purpose of the Report

The 2013 Dialysis Facility Report (DFR) is provided as a resource for characterizing selected aspects of clinical experience at this facility relative to other caregivers in this state, ESRD Network, and across the United States. Since these data could be useful in quality improvement and assurance activities, each state's surveying agency may utilize this report as a resource during the FY 2014 survey and certification process.

This report has been prepared for this facility by the University of Michigan Kidney Epidemiology and Cost Center (UM-KECC) and Arbor Research Collaborative for Health with funding from the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) and is based primarily on Medicare claims and data collected for CMS. It is the eighteenth in a series of annual reports. This is one of 6,207 reports that have been distributed to ESRD providers in the U.S.

This DFR includes data specific to CCN(s): 502556

Overview: This report includes summaries of patient characteristics, treatment patterns, and patient outcomes for chronic dialysis patients who were treated in this facility between January 2009 and December 2012. Mortality, hospitalization, and transplantation statistics are reported for a three- or four-year period. Regional and national averages are included to allow for comparisons. Several of the summaries of patient mortality, hospitalization, and transplantation are adjusted to account for the characteristics of the patient mix at this facility, such as age, sex and diabetes as a cause of ESRD. Unless otherwise specified, data refer to hemodialysis (HD) and peritoneal dialysis (PD) patients combined.

Selected highlights from this report are given on pages 2 through 4. For a complete description of the methods used to calculate the statistics in this report, please see the *Guide to the 2013 Dialysis Facility Reports*. The *Guide* may be downloaded from the methodology section of the Dialysis Reports website at www.DialysisReports.org.

What's New This Year: As part of a continuing effort to improve the quality and relevance of this report, the following changes have been incorporated into your 2013 DFR. The UM-KECC ESRD database now includes the new web-based data collection system, CROWNWeb. It was rolled out nationally in June 2012 and replaces the functionality of SIMS. Authorized users may now securely submit, update, and verify data provided to Medicare about people who have ESRD on a monthly basis. In addition, the Influenza Vaccination table (Table 5) has been amended to include vaccinations administered during the month of August to better coordinate with delivery date of vaccine supply to facilities.

How to Submit Comments

Between August 29, 2013 and September 22, 2013, facilities may submit comments to their state surveyor or UM-KECC by visiting www.DialysisReports.org, logging on to view their report, and clicking on the **Comments & Inquiries** tab. Questions or comments after the comment period is over may be submitted, to us directly at Support@DialysisReports.org or 1-877-665-1680.


- (1) **State Surveyor:** Dialysis Facilities may submit comments on the DFR for their state's surveyors. State surveyor(s) will receive a copy of their DFR with the comments they submitted in September 2013.
- (2) **UM-KECC:** Submit questions or suggestions to improve the DFR to UM-KECC. These comments will not be shared with CMS or your state surveyor.

Facility Highlights

Standardized Mortality Ratio (SMR) (Table 1):

• At this facility, 2009-2012 SMR is 1.25, which is 25% more deaths than expected. Among all U.S. facilities, 81% of facilities had a four-year SMR (2009-2012) lower than 1.25. This difference is not statistically significant (p>=0.05), so this higher mortality could plausibly be just a chance occurrence. The 2009-2012 SMR of observed to expected deaths is 0.96 and 0.95 for your State and Network, respectively.

The markers show the values of the SMR for this facility, State, Network, and Nation. The bolded horizontal line shows the range of uncertainty due to random variation (95% confidence interval; significant if it does not cross the 1.0 reference line). Regional and national SMR are plotted above the dotted line to allow for comparisons to facility values.




• At this facility, the 2009-2011 first-year SMR of observed to expected deaths is 0.82, which is 18% fewer deaths than expected at this facility. Among all U.S. facilities, 35% of facilities had a first-year SMR lower than 0.82. This difference is not statistically significant (p>=0.05), so this lower mortality could plausibly be just a chance occurrence. The first-year SMR (2009-2011) of observed to expected deaths is 0.86 and 0.86 for your State and Network, respectively.

Standardized Hospitalization Ratio (SHR) (Table 2):


- The 2009-2012 SHR (ED) at this facility is 1.54, which is 54% more ED visits than expected. This difference is statistically significant (p<0.05), so this higher ED visit ratio is unlikely to be due to random chance and probably represents a real difference from the expected ED visit ratio in the nation. The 2009-2012 SHR (ED) for your State and Network is 0.97 and 0.95, respectively.
- The 2009-2012 SHR (Days) at this facility is 0.86, which is 14% fewer days hospitalized than expected. This difference is not statistically significant (p>=0.05), so this lower hospitalization could plausibly be just a chance occurrence. The 2009-2012 SHR (Days) for your State and Network is 0.68 and 0.67, respectively.
- The 2009-2012 SHR (Admissions) at this facility is 1.19, which is 19% more admissions hospitalized than expected. This difference is not statistically significant (p>=0.05), so this higher hospitalization could plausibly be just a chance occurrence. The 2009-2012 SHR (Admissions) for your State and Network is 0.88 and 0.86, respectively.


The markers show the values of the SHR (Admissions) for this facility, State, Network, and Nation. The bolded horizontal line shows the range of uncertainty due to random variation (95% confidence interval; significant if it does not cross the 1.0 reference line). Regional and national SHR (Admissions) are plotted above the dotted line to allow for comparisons to facility values.




Infection (Tables 2 and 8):

- The percentage of Medicare dialysis patients at this facility hospitalized with septicemia during 2009-2012 was 9.1%, compared to 10.5% in your State, 9.2% in your Network, and 10.6% nationally.
- The rate of HD infection among HD patients at this facility in 2012 was 5.9 per 100 HD patient-months, compared to 2.6 in your State, 2.3 in your Network, and 2.2 nationally.
- The rate of PD catheter-related infection is unavailable. The rates of PD catheter-related infection are 2.6, 2.7, and 3.0 for your State, Network and U.S., respectively.







Transplantation (Table 3):

- Of the patients under age 70 treated at this facility during 2009-2012 who had not previously received a transplant, 3% were transplanted annually, while a rate of 5% would be expected for these patients.
- The 2009-2012 Standardized 1 st Transplantation Ratio (STR) of observed to expected number of patients transplanted for this facility is 0.54, which is 46% lower than expected for this facility. This difference is not statistically significant (p>=0.05) and is plausibly due to random chance. The 2009-2012 STR for your State and Network is 1.37 and 1.31, respectively.


Transplant Waitlist (Table 4):


• The percentage of patients on the kidney transplant waitlist on December 31, 2012 in your State and Network is 23% and 21%, respectively. Among the 30 dialysis patients under age 70 treated at this facility on December 31, 2012, 23% were on the kidney transplant waitlist compared to 24% nationally. This difference is not statistically significant (p>=0.05) and is plausibly due to random chance.


Influenza Vaccination (Table 5):

• Among the 32 Medicare dialysis patients treated at this facility on December 31, 2011, 81% were vaccinated between September 1 and December 31, 2011 compared to 70% nationally. This difference is not statistically significant (p>=0.05) and is plausibly due to random chance. The percentage of patients vaccinated in your State, Network, and nation is 73%, 70%, and 70%, respectively.










Practice Patterns (Tables 6 and 7):

- Among the 33 ESA-treated dialysis patients included in the analysis in 2012, the average hemoglobin calculated is 10.6 g/dL, compared to 10.7 g/dL in your State, 10.7 g/dL in your Network, and 10.6 g/dL nationally.
- Among the 38 HD patients in this facility included in the analysis in 2012, 89% had URR above the KDOQI minimum value for URR (65%), compared to 99% in your State, 98% in your Network, and 98% nationally.
- In 2012, 79% of eligible HD patient-months had a Kt/V >=1.2, compared to 88% in your State, 87% in your Network, and 89% nationally.
- In 2012, the percent of eligible PD patient-months that had a Kt/V >= 1.7 is unavailable. The percent of patients with Kt/V>=1.7 in your State, Network, and US is 83%, 81%, and 79% respectively.
- At this facility in 2012, an average of 55% of incident patients had arteriovenous (AV) fistulae in place, compared to 42% in your State, 41% in your Network, and 32% nationally.
- Of the prevalent patients receiving hemodialysis treatment at this facility in 2012, 6% had a catheter which had been in place for at least 90 days as their only vascular access, compared to 7% in your State, 7% in your Network, and 8% nationally.

Patient Characteristics (Tables 9 and 10):

- Among the 12 patients with Medical Evidence Forms (CMS-2728) indicating treatment at this facility during 2012:
 - •33% of these patients were not under the care of a nephrologist before starting dialysis, compared to 23% in your State, 21% in your Network, and 27% nationally.
 - •75% of these patients were informed of their transplant options, compared to 71% in your State, 72% in your Network, and 78% nationally.
- Among the patients treated at this facility on December 31, 2012, 8% were treated in a nursing home during the year, compared to 6% nationally.



Prepared by
The University of Michigan Kidney Epidemiology and Cost Center (UM-KECC) under contract with the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

TABLE 1: Mortality Summary for All Dialysis Patients (2009-12) & New Dialysis Patients (2009-11)*1

| | | | , | This Faci | | | onal Average Year, 2009-20 | | |
|-----|---|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------|-----------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| | Measure Name | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2009-2012 | State | Network | U.S. |
| All | Patients: Death Rates | | | | | | | | |
| 1a | Patients (n=number) | 36 | 52 | 63 | 58 | 209 *8 | 100.3 | 81.0 | 96.3 |
| 1b | Patient-years (PY) at risk (n) | 11.5 | 32.2 | 30.8 | 37.1 | 111.5 *8 | 69.8 | 56.1 | 62.9 |
| 1c | Deaths (n) | 3 | 6 | 8 | 5 | 22 *8 | 13.4 | 10.8 | 11.7 |
| 1d | Expected deaths (n) | 2.24 | 5.01 | 4.78 | 5.61 | 17.6 *8 | 14.0 | 11.3 | 11.7 |
| All | Patients: Categories of Death | | | | | | | | |
| 1e | Withdrawal from dialysis prior to death (% of 1c) | 0.0 | 50.0 | 62.5 | 40.0 | 45.5 | 40.9 | 41.4 | 25.4 |
| 1f | Death due to: Infections (% of 1c) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 16.4 | 16.2 | 14.6 |
| | Cardiac causes (% of 1c) | 0.0 | 66.7 | 50.0 | 80.0 | 54.5 | 40.0 | 43.1 | 45.8 |
| 1g | Dialysis unrelated deaths *3 (n; excluded from SMR) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 *8 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| All | Patients: Standardized Mortality Ratio (SMR) | | | | | | | | |
| 1h | SMR *4 | | 1.20 | 1.67 | 0.89 | 1.25 | 0.96 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| 1i | P-value *5 | | 0.770 | 0.223 | 0.990 | 0.354 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 1j | Confidence interval for SMR*6 | | | | | | | | |
| | High (97.5% limit) | | 2.61 | 3.29 | 2.08 | 1.89 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| | Low (2.5% limit) | | 0.44 | 0.72 | 0.29 | 0.78 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 1k | SMR Percentiles for this Facility (i.e. percent of facilities | with lower n | nortality ra | ites)*7 | | | | | |
| | In this State | | 75 | 94 | 42 | 92 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| | In this Network | | 72 | 94 | 50 | 86 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| | In the U.S. | | 70 | 92 | 40 | 81 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Ne | w Patients: First Year Death Rates | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | | 2009-2011 | Reg Per Y | gional Avera Year, 2009-2 | ages 2011 *2 |
| 11 | New Patients (n=number) | 5 | 13 | 15 | | 33 *8 | 22.9 | 18.9 | 18.5 |
| 1m | Patient-years (PY) at risk (n) | 4.5 | 11.9 | 14.1 | | 30.5 *8 | 20.0 | 16.5 | 16.0 |
| 1n | Deaths (n) | 1 | 2 | 1 | | 4 *8 | 4.4 | 3.7 | 4.0 |
| 1o | Expected deaths (n) | 0.6 | 1.6 | 2.7 | | 4.9 *8 | 5.1 | 4.3 | 4.0 |
| Ne | w Patients: Categories of Deaths | | | | | | | | |
| 1p | Withdrawal from dialysis prior to death (% of 1n) | 100 | 50.0 | 0.0 | | 50.0 | 43.8 | 45.0 | 28.1 |
| 1q | Death due to: Infections (% of 1n) | 0.0 | 50.0 | 100 | | 50.0 | 14.2 | 14.1 | 13.4 |
| | Cardiac causes (% of 1r) | 100 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 25.0 | 37.8 | 40.2 | 42.1 |
| Ne | w Patients: First Year Standardized Mortality Ra | tio (SMR) | | | | | | | |
| 1r | SMR *4 | | | | | 0.82 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 1.00 |
| 1s | P-value*5 | | | | | 0.918 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 1t | Confidence interval for SMR *6 | | | | | | | | |
| | High (97.5% limit) | | | | | 2.09 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| | Low (2.5% limit) | | | | | 0.22 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 1u | First Year SMR Percentiles for this Facility (i.e. percent of | f facilities w | ith lower r | nortality ra | ates) *7 | | | | |
| | In this State | | | | , | 51 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| | In this Network | | | | | 46 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| | In the U.S. | _ | _ | _ | | 35 | n/a | n/a | n/a |

n/a = not applicable

[*1] See Guide, Section IV.

[*2] Values are shown for the average facility, annualized.

[*3] Defined as deaths due to street drugs and accidents unrelated to treatment.

[*4] Calculated as a ratio of deaths to expected deaths (1 to 1d for all patients, 1p to 1q for new patients); not shown if there are fewer than 3 expected deaths.

[*5] A p-value less than 0.05 indicates that the difference between the actual and expected mortality is probably real and is not due to random chance alone, while a p-value greater than or equal to 0.05 indicates that the difference could plausibly be due to random chance.

[*6] The confidence interval range represents uncertainty in the value of the SMR due to random variation.

[*7] All facilities are included in ranking, regardless of the number of expected deaths.

[*8] Sum of 4 years (all patients), or 3 years (new patients), used for calculations; should not be compared to regional averages.

NKC BROADWAY KIDNEY CENTER State: WA Network: 16 CCN: 502556

TABLE 2: Hospitalization Summary for Medicare Dialysis Patients st_1 , 2009-2012

| | | | Т | his Facili | ity | | | Regional Averages *2, per Year, 2009-2012 | | | |
|----|---|-------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-------|-----------|-------|--|-------|--|--|
| | Measure Name | 2009 | 2010 201 | | 2012 | 2009-2012 | State | Network | U.S. | | |
| Me | edicare Dialysis Patients | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2a | Medicare dialysis patients (n) | 27 | 38 | 52 | 47 | 164 *3 | 81.4 | 65.1 | 76.4 | | |
| 2b | Patient-years (PY) at risk (n) | 8.6 | 22.0 | 23.5 | 29.3 | 83.4 *3 | 53.3 | 41.7 | 45.9 | | |
| Da | ys Hospitalized Statistics | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2c | Total days hospitalized (n) | 191 | 301 | 239 | 297 | 1028 *3 | 517.5 | 390.8 | 641.8 | | |
| 2d | Expected total days hospitalized (n) | 132.1 | 343.1 | 348.8 | 375.1 | 1199.1 *3 | 758.5 | 585.2 | 643.7 | | |
| 2e | Standardized Hospitalization Ratio (Days)*4 | 1.45 | 0.88 | 0.69 | 0.79 | 0.86 | 0.68 | 0.67 | 1.00 | | |
| 2f | P-value *5 | 0.320 | 0.911 | 0.500 | 0.730 | 0.858 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | |
| 2g | Confidence Interval for SHR (Days) *6 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | High (97.5% limit) | 4.23 | 2.07 | 1.77 | 2.09 | 2.02 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | |
| | Low (2.5% limit) | 0.62 | 0.44 | 0.31 | 0.35 | 0.43 | n/a | n/a | n/ | | |
| 2h | Percentiles for this Facility (i.e. % of facilities with lower hospitaliz | ation rates | [days]) ^{*7} | | | | | | | | |
| | In this State | 96 | 86 | 58 | 61 | 88 | n/a | n/a | n/ | | |
| | In this Network | 96 | 80 | 62 | 68 | 87 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | |
| | In the U.S. | 88 | 44 | 23 | 33 | 39 | n/a | n/a | n/ | | |
| Ad | mission Statistics | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2i | Total admissions (n) | 34 | 56 | 49 | 63 | 202 *3 | 93.1 | 70.5 | 89.9 | | |
| 2j | Expected total admissions (n) | 18.3 | 47.1 | 49.1 | 56.0 | 170.4*3 | 106.1 | 82.2 | 90.0 | | |
| 2k | Standardized Hospitalization Ratio (Admissions) *4 | 1.86 | 1.19 | 1.00 | 1.13 | 1.19 | 0.88 | 0.86 | 1.00 | | |
| 21 | P-value *5 | 0.046 | 0.444 | 0.990 | 0.650 | 0.470 | n/a | n/a | n/ | | |
| 2m | Confidence Interval for SHR (Admissions) *6 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | High (97.5% limit) | 3.76 | 2.10 | 1.83 | 2.10 | 2.07 | n/a | n/a | n/ | | |
| | Low (2.5% limit) | 1.01 | 0.72 | 0.57 | 0.63 | 0.71 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | |
| 2n | Percentiles for this Facility (i.e. % of facilities with lower hospitaliz | ation rates | [admission | ıs]) ^{*7} | | | | | | | |
| | In this State | 98 | 88 | 76 | 81 | 96 | n/a | n/a | n/ | | |
| | In this Network | 98 | 88 | 74 | 83 | 93 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | |
| | In the U.S. | 97 | 76 | 54 | 69 | 77 | n/a | n/a | n/ | | |
| 2o | Diagnoses Associated with Hospitalization (% of 2a)*8 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Septicemia | 7.4 | 7.9 | 9.6 | 10.6 | 9.1 | 10.5 | 9.2 | 10. | | |
| | Acute myocardial infarction | 0.0 | 5.3 | 1.9 | 6.4 | 3.7 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 4. | | |
| | Congestive heart failure | 14.8 | 18.4 | 17.3 | 14.9 | 16.5 | 20.2 | 18.9 | 21.3 | | |
| | Cardiac dysrhythmia | 14.8 | 26.3 | 13.5 | 8.5 | 15.2 | 14.8 | 13.6 | 13. | | |
| | Cardiac arrest | 0.0 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.5 | | |
| 2p | One day admissions (% of 2i) | 17.6 | 44.6 | 26.5 | 19.0 | 27.7 | 18.4 | 18.0 | 13.5 | | |
| 2q | Average length of stay (days per admission; 2c/2i) | 5.6 | 5.4 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 5.1 | 5.6 | 5.5 | 7. | | |
| 2r | Readmissions within 30 days (n) *9 | 12 | 20 | 19 | 25 | 76 *3 | 26.6 | 19.2 | 27. | | |
| 2s | Admissions that result in readmission within 30 days (% of 2i) *9 | 35.3 | 35.7 | 38.8 | 39.7 | 37.6 | 28.6 | 27.2 | 31. | | |

(continued)

TABLE 2 (cont.): Hospitalization Summary for Medicare Dialysis Patients *1, 2009-2012

| | | | Т | his Facili | ity | | Regional Averages *2, per Year, 2009-2012 | | |
|-----|---|----------------|---------|------------|-------|-----------|--|---------|-------|
| | Measure Name | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2009-2012 | State | Network | U.S. |
| En | nergency Department (ED) Statistics | | | | | | | | |
| 2t | Total ED visits (n) | 55 | 87 | 117 | 144 | 403 *3 | 154.7 | 117.4 | 135.1 |
| 2u | Expected total ED visits (n) | 27.6 | 71.9 | 77.0 | 85.1 | 262 *3 | 159.2 | 123.7 | 135.5 |
| 2v | Standardized Hospitalization Ratio (ED)*4 | 1.99 | 1.21 | 1.52 | 1.69 | 1.54 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| 2w | P-value *5 | 0.027 | 0.388 | 0.050 | 0.012 | 0.044 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 2x | Confidence Interval for SHR (ED) *6 | | | | | | | | |
| | High (97.5% limit) | 3.81 | 2.02 | 2.38 | 2.58 | 2.33 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| | Low (2.5% limit) | 1.08 | 0.76 | 1.00 | 1.12 | 1.01 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 2y | Percentiles for this Facility (i.e. % of facilities with lower hospital | lization rates | [ED])*7 | | | | | | |
| | In this State | 98 | 88 | 95 | 97 | 96 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| | In this Network | 98 | 86 | 95 | 97 | 96 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| | In the U.S. | 98 | 79 | 94 | 97 | 96 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 2z | Patients with ED visit (% of 2a) | 70.4 | 60.5 | 59.6 | 68.1 | 64.0 | 61.9 | 60.7 | 58.9 |
| 2aa | ED visits that result in hospitalization (% of 2t) | 47.3 | 42.5 | 35.9 | 36.8 | 39.2 | 44.1 | 41.8 | 50.6 |
| 2bb | Admissions that originate in the ED (% of 2i) | 76.5 | 66.1 | 85.7 | 84.1 | 78.2 | 73.3 | 69.6 | 76.1 |

n/a = not applicable.

[*1] Based on patients with Medicare as primary insurer; see *Guide, Section V*.

[*2] Values are shown for the average facility, annualized.

[*3] Sum of 4 years used for calculations; should not be compared to regional averages.

[*4] Standardized Hospitalization Ratio calculated as ratio of actual to expected events (2c/2d for days, 2i/2] for admissions, and 2t/2u for ED visits); not shown if there are too few patient years at risk.

[*5] A p-value less than 0.05 indicates that the difference between the actual and expected hospitalization/ED event is probably real and is not due to random chance alone, while a p-value greater than or equal to 0.05 indicates that the difference could plausibly be due to random chance.

[*6] The confidence interval range represents uncertainty in the value of the Standardized Hospitalization Ratio (SHR) due to random variation.

[*7] All facilities are included in ranking, regardless of the number of patient years at risk.

[*8] Includes diagnoses present at admission and diagnoses added during the hospital stay.

[*9] This value may be an underestimate since readmissions discharged after December 31, 2012 are not included.

TABLE 3: Transplantation Summary for Dialysis Patients under Age 70 *1, 2009-2012

| | | | | This Faci | ility | | Regional Averages *2, per Year, 2009-2012 | | | |
|-----|---|-------------------------|------------|-------------|-------|-----------|--|---------|------|--|
| | Measure Name | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2009-2012 | State | Network | U.S. | |
| 3a | Eligible patients (n) | 24 | 35 | 47 | 41 | 147 *10 | 67.9 | 54.9 | 66. | |
| 3b | Transplants (n) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 *10 | 3.2 | 2.4 | 2. | |
| 3c | Donor type (sums to 3b *3) | | | | | | | | | |
| | Living Donor (n) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 *10 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 | |
| | Deceased Donor (n) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 *10 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 1.: | |
| Pa | tients who have not Previously Received a | Fransplant | | | | | | | | |
| 3d | Eligible patients (n) | 21 | 32 | 44 | 39 | 136 *10 | 61.2 | 49.2 | 59.5 | |
| 3e | Patient years (PY) at risk (n) | 6.7 | 21.2 | 21.9 | 25.5 | 75.3 *10 | 42.7 | 34.3 | 39.4 | |
| 3f | First transplants *4 (n) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 *10 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 1.8 | |
| 3g | Expected First transplants (n) | 0.3 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 3.7 *10 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.8 | |
| Sta | andardized 1st Transplantation Ratio (STR | R)*5 | | | | | | | | |
| 3h | STR *6 | | | | | 0.54 | 1.37 | 1.31 | 1.00 | |
| 3i | P-value*7 | | | | | 0.573 | n/a | n/a | n/a | |
| 3j | 95% Confidence interval for STR *8 | | | | | | | | | |
| | Upper limit | | | | | 1.96 | n/a | n/a | n/a | |
| | Lower limit | | | | | 0.07 | n/a | n/a | n/a | |
| 3k | STR Percentiles for this Facility (i.e. percent of fa | acilities with lower tr | ansplantat | ion rates)* | 9 | | | | | |
| | In this State | | | | | 13 | n/a | n/a | n/a | |
| | In this Network | | | | | 20 | n/a | n/a | n/a | |
| | In the U.S. | | | | | 27 | n/a | n/a | n/a | |

TABLE 4: Waitlist Summary for Dialysis Patients under Age 70 Treated on December 31st of Each Year *1, 2009-2012

| | | · | This Fa | cility | : | Regiona | Regional Averages *2, 2012 | | |
|----|--|-------|---------|--------|-------|---------|----------------------------|------|--|
| | Measure Name | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | State | Network | U.S. | |
| 4a | Eligible patients on 12/31 (n) | 20 | 27 | 29 | 30 | 53.8 | 44.3 | 46.2 | |
| 4b | Patients on the waitlist (% of 4a) | 5.0 | 14.8 | 17.2 | 23.3 | 22.6 | 20.7 | 24.3 | |
| 4c | P-value (compared to U.S. value) *11 | 0.028 | 0.174 | 0.256 | 0.552 | n/a | n/a | n/a | |
| 4d | Patients on the waitlist by subgroup (%) *12 | | | | | | | | |
| | Age < 40 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 25.0 | 35.5 | 31.9 | 36.7 | |
| | Age 40-69 | 6.7 | 19.0 | 16.7 | 23.1 | 20.6 | 18.8 | 22.5 | |
| | Male | 0.0 | 10.5 | 17.6 | 26.3 | 23.4 | 21.6 | 25.3 | |
| | Female | 16.7 | 25.0 | 16.7 | 18.2 | 21.6 | 19.4 | 22.9 | |
| | African American | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 23.6 | 20.8 | 22.4 | |
| | Asian/Pacific Islander | 0.0 | 42.9 | 57.1 | 50.0 | 25.7 | 25.8 | 36.2 | |
| | Native American | | | | | 15.0 | 16.1 | 18.9 | |
| | White, Hispanic | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.4 | 20.5 | 27.7 | |
| | White, Non-Hispanic | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 9.1 | 22.3 | 20.2 | 23.2 | |
| | Other/unknown race | 100 | 100 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.9 | 22.6 | 28.5 | |
| | Diabetes | 0.0 | 25.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 15.4 | 14.7 | 19.6 | |
| | Non-diabetes | 7.7 | 10.5 | 10.5 | 20.0 | 28.7 | 25.5 | 27.9 | |
| | Previous kidney transplant | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 33.3 | 39.9 | 36.8 | 45.5 | |
| | No previous kidney transplant | 5.6 | 12.0 | 14.8 | 22.2 | 20.8 | 18.9 | 22.3 | |
| | < 2 years since start of ESRD | 0.0 | 16.7 | 10.0 | 27.3 | 17.5 | 16.2 | 16.2 | |
| | 2-4 years since start of ESRD | 16.7 | 14.3 | 22.2 | 42.9 | 25.6 | 23.1 | 28.4 | |
| | 5+ years since start of ESRD | 0.0 | 12.5 | 20.0 | 8.3 | 26.2 | 23.7 | 29.0 | |

n/a = not applicable [*1] See *Guide, Section VI and VII.* [*2] Values are shown for the average facility. [*3] Values may not sum to 3b due to unknown donor type. [*4] Among first transplants that occurred after the start of dialysis from 2009-2012, 3.8% of transplants in the U.S. were not included because the transplant cocurred fewer than 90 days after the start of ESRD and 1.6% were not included because the patient was not assigned to a facility at time of transplant. [*5] This section is calculated for the 4-year period only and not reported if there are fewer than 3 expected transplants. [*6] Standardized Transplantation Ratio calculated as ratio of actual (3f) to expected (3g) transplants by adule less than 0.05 indicates that the difference between the actual and expected transplants is probably real and is not due to random chance, while a p-value greater than or equal to 0.05 indicates that the difference is plausibly due to random chance. [*8] The confidence interval range represents uncertainty in the value of the STR due to random variation. [*9] All facilities are included in ranking, regardless of the number of expected transplants. [*10] Sum of 4 years used for calculations; should not be compared to regional averages. [*11] Facility waitlist percentage is compared to the U.S. waitlist percentage for that year: 24.2% (2009), 24.5% (2010), 24.4% (2011

TABLE 5: Influenza Vaccination Summary for Medicare Dialysis Patients Treated on December 31st of Each Year *1, Flu Seasons August 2009-March 2012

| | | Th | nis Facili | ty | Regional Averages, 2011-2012*2 | | | |
|----|--|---------|------------|---------|--------------------------------|---------|------|--|
| | Measure Name | 2009-10 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | State | Network | U.S. | |
| 5a | Eligible patients on 12/31 (n) | 21 | 24 | 32 | 59.9 | 46.2 | 49.8 | |
| 5b | Patients vaccinated between Aug. 1 and Dec. 31 (% of 5a) | 81.0 | 83.3 | 81.3 | 73.4 | 69.8 | 69.7 | |
| 5c | P-value *3 (for 5b compared to U.S. value *4) | 0.192 | 0.087 | 0.106 | n/a | n/a | n/a | |
| 5d | Patients vaccinated between Aug. 1 and Mar. 31 (% of 5a) | 90.5 | 83.3 | 81.3 | 74.0 | 70.4 | 70.3 | |
| 5e | P-value *3 (for 5d compared to U.S. value *5) | 0.034 | 0.097 | 0.121 | n/a | n/a | n/a | |
| 5f | Patients vaccinated between Aug. 1 and Dec. 31 by subgroup (%) *6 | | | | | | | |
| | Age < 18 | • | | | 76.5 | 65.5 | 49.8 | |
| | Age 18-39 | 100 | 100 | 50.0 | 68.1 | 65.8 | 65.4 | |
| | Age 40-64 | 100 | 88.9 | 88.2 | 73.6 | 69.8 | 69.2 | |
| | Age 65-74 | 71.4 | 85.7 | 80.0 | 73.2 | 69.8 | 70.2 | |
| | Age 75+ | 60.0 | 60.0 | 83.3 | 75.2 | 71.6 | 72.0 | |
| | Male | 81.8 | 92.3 | 82.4 | 72.5 | 69.2 | 69.9 | |
| | Female | 80.0 | 72.7 | 80.0 | 74.6 | 70.6 | 69.6 | |
| | African American | 77.8 | 70.0 | 77.8 | 73.0 | 67.5 | 66.9 | |
| | Asian/Pacific Islander | 75.0 | 87.5 | 77.8 | 79.3 | 72.9 | 72.9 | |
| | Native American | | | | 74.8 | 58.1 | 74.2 | |
| | White | 100 | 100 | 84.6 | 72.3 | 70.3 | 71.3 | |
| | Other/unknown race | | 100 | 100 | 81.8 | 68.5 | 66.1 | |
| | Hispanic | | | 100 | 79.8 | 79.3 | 71.2 | |
| | < 1 year since start of ESRD | 66.7 | 50.0 | 88.9 | 61.8 | 59.4 | 58.1 | |
| | 1-2 years since start of ESRD | 100 | 100 | 66.7 | 74.7 | 71.2 | 69.7 | |
| | 3+ years since start of ESRD | 80.0 | 86.7 | 85.7 | 76.7 | 72.3 | 73.2 | |

TABLE 6: Facility Modality, Hemoglobin, and Dialysis Adequacy for Medicare Dialysis Patients*1, 2009-2012

| | | | This Fa | cility | | Regional Averages *2, 2012 | | | | |
|----|---|----------------|------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------------|---------|-------|--|--|
| | Measure Name | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | State | Network | U.S. | | |
| Mo | odality (among all dialysis patients with ESRD for 90+ da | ays and 1+ cla | im at this | s facility) | | | | | | |
| 6a | Patients treated during year (n) | 78 | 65 | 91 | 82 | 103.9 | 78.0 | 75.3 | | |
| 6b | Patient-months treated during year (n) *3 | 210 | 289 | 385 | 428 | 736.9 | 559.3 | 565.2 | | |
| 6c | Modality (% of 6b; sums to 100%) | | | | | | | | | |
| | Hemodialysis | 97.6 | 97.9 | 99.7 | 100 | 84.5 | 85.1 | 91.5 | | |
| | CAPD/CCPD | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.2 | 9.9 | 7.4 | | |
| | Other dialysis *4 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 5.1 | 1. | | |
| 6d | Percent of patient-months prescribed Iron by Modality *5 | | | | | | | | | |
| | Hemodialysis | 71.2 | 69.3 | 48.2 | 51.6 | 60.3 | 60.7 | 62.4 | | |
| | CAPD/CCPD | • | | | | 22.6 | 18.9 | 24.4 | | |
| He | moglobin (among ESA-treated dialysis patients with ES | RD for 90+ da | ys and 4 | + Hemog | lobin clair | ns at this fa | cility) | | | |
| 6e | Eligible patients (n) | 22 | 22 | 26 | 33 | 56.3 | 42.2 | 45.5 | | |
| 6f | Average hemoglobin (g/dL) | 11.3 | 11.6 | 10.9 | 10.6 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 10.6 | | |
| 6g | Hemoglobin categories (% of 6e; sums to 100%) | | | | | | | | | |
| | < 10 g/dL | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.5 | 9.1 | 8.0 | 7.7 | 10.1 | | |
| | 10-<11 g/dL | 18.2 | 18.2 | 34.6 | 72.7 | 60.9 | 61.1 | 62.1 | | |
| | 11-<12 g/dL | 72.7 | 68.2 | 50.0 | 18.2 | 30.6 | 30.5 | 27.2 | | |
| | > 12 g/dL | 9.1 | 13.6 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.0 | | |

(continued)

n/a = not applicable

*1] Based on patients with Medicare as primary insurer; see *Guide, Section VIII.**2] Values are shown for the average facility.

*3] A p-value greater than or equal to 0.05 indicates that the difference between percent of patients vaccinated at the facility and national percentage is plausibly due to random chance.

*4] Compared to the U.S. value for that year and time period (8/1-12/31): 69.8% (2009-10), 68.7% (2010-11), 69.7% (2011-12).

*5] Compared to the U.S. value for that year and time period (8/1-3/31): 71.2% (2009-10), 69.3% (2010-11), 70.3% (2011-12).

*6] A missing value indicates that there were no eligible patients in the subgroup.

TABLE 6 (cont.): Facility Modality, Hemoglobin, and Dialysis Adequacy for Medicare Dialysis Patients *1, 2009-2012

| | | | This Fac | ility | | Regional A | verages *2 | , 2012 |
|--------------|---|--------------|-----------|------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|--------|
| | Measure Name | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | State N | letwork | U.S. |
| He | moglobin (among ESA-treated dialysis patients with ESRD | for 90+ da | ys and 4+ | - Hemog | lobin claiı | ns at this facil | ity) (cont. | .) |
| 6h | Eligible hemodialysis (HD) patients (n)*6 | 22 | 22 | 26 | 33 | 52.6 | 39.2 | 43. |
| 6i | Hemoglobin categories among HD pts (% of 6h; sums to 100%) | | | | | | | |
| | < 10 g/dL | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.5 | 9.1 | 7.8 | 7.6 | 9 |
| | 10-<11 g/dL | 18.2 | 18.2 | 34.6 | 72.7 | 60.6 | 60.5 | 62 |
| | 11-12 g/dL | 72.7 | 68.2 | 50.0 | 18.2 | 31.1 | 31.2 | 27 |
| | > 12 g/dL | 9.1 | 13.6 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0 |
| 6j | Eligible peritoneal dialysis (PD) patients (n)*6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 3 |
| 6k | Hemoglobin categories among PD pts (% of 6j; sums to 100%) | | | | | | | |
| | < 10 g/dL | | | | | 17.0 | 19.4 | 21 |
| | 10-<11 g/dL | | | | | 53.3 | 55.8 | 54 |
| | 11-12 g/dL | | | | | 27.3 | 23.1 | 23 |
| | > 12 g/dL | | | | | 2.4 | 1.7 | 1 |
| Ur | ea Reduction Ratio (URR; among HD patients with ESRD | for 183+ da | vs and 4 | - URR cl | aims at th | | | |
| 6l | Eligible patients (n) | 21 | 22 | 33 | 38 | 53.4 | 40.2 | 44 |
| 5m | URR categories (% of 6l; sums to 100%) | 21 | 22 | 33 | 50 | 33.1 | 10.2 | |
| <i>J</i> 111 | < 60.0 % | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0 |
| | 60.0-64.9 % | 0.0 | 9.1 | 3.0 | 5.3 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0 |
| | 65.0-69.9 % | 9.5 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 13.2 | 6.7 | 7.2 | 9 |
| | 70.0-74.9 % | 38.1 | 31.8 | 36.4 | 23.7 | 26.8 | 30.2 | 33 |
| | 75+ % | 52.4 | 59.1 | 54.5 | 52.6 | 65.2 | 60.9 | 56 |
| _ | | | | | | | | |
| ón | URR 65+ (% of 6l; meets a KDOQI guideline) | 100 | 90.9 | 97.0 | 89.5 | 98.7 | 98.3 | 98 |
| 60 | URR percentiles for this facility (i.e. % of facilities with a smaller pe | | | | | , | , | |
| | In this State | 98 | 8 | 25 | 2 | n/a | n/a | n |
| | In this Network | 99 | 6 | 24 | 4 | n/a | n/a | n |
| | In the U.S. | 99 | 9 | 27 | 3 | n/a | n/a | n |
| Kt/ | V(K = dialyzer clearance of urea; t = dialysis time; V = path | ient's total | - | ter) *8 *9 | | | | |
| 6р | Eligible HD patients (n) | | 40 | 91 | 81 | 90.0 | 68.1 | 69 |
| 6q | Eligible HD patient-months (n) *3 | | 136 | 357 | 402 | 598 | 457 | 5 |
| 6r | HD: Average Kt/V*10 | • | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1 |
| 6s | Kt/V categories among HD patients (% of 6q; sums to 100%) | | | | | | | |
| | <1.2 | • | 6.6 | 6.4 | 8.0 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 2 |
| | 1.2-<1.4 | • | 10.3 | 14.0 | 12.9 | 9.7 | 10.7 | 11 |
| | 1.4-<1.6 | • | 17.6 | 26.1 | 19.9 | 23.1 | 24.2 | 26 |
| | 1.6-<1.8 | • | 20.6 | 22.4 | 24.4 | 25.1 | 25.0 | 26 |
| | >= 1.8 | • | 30.1 | 18.8 | 21.6 | 30.0 | 26.6 | 24 |
| | Missing/Out of Range/Not Performed/Expired | • | 14.7 | 12.3 | 13.2 | 8.7 | 10.2 | 8 |
| 6t | HD: $Kt/V >= 1.2$ (% of 6q) | • | 78.7 | 81.2 | 78.9 | 87.9 | 86.5 | 88 |
| 5u | Eligible peritoneal dialysis (PD) Patients (n) | • | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8.2 | 7.9 | 5 |
| 6v | Eligible PD patient-months (n) *3 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59.3 | 58.1 | 42 |
| ów | PD: Average Kt/V *10 | | | | | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2 |
| 5x | Kt/V categories among PD patients (% of 6v; sums to 100%) | | | | | | | |
| | <1.7 | | | | | 7.0 | 7.5 | 8 |
| | 1.7-<1.9 | • | | | | 12.5 | 11.4 | 14 |
| | 1.9-<2.2 | | • | | | 25.8 | 24.3 | 24 |
| | 2.2-<2.5 | • | ě | | • | 19.3 | 18.5 | 17 |
| | >=2.5 | | | • | | 25.8 | 27.0 | 22 |
| | Missing/Out of Range/Not Performed/Expired | | | • | | 9.6 | 11.4 | 13 |
| бу | PD: Kt/V >=1.7 (% of 6v) *10 | | | | | 83.4 | 81.1 | 78 |

n/a = not applicable

[*1] See *Guide, Section IX.* [*2] Values are shown for the average facility. [*3] Patients may be counted up to 12 times per year.

[*4] Other dialysis includes patients who switch between HD and PD during the month and patients for whom modality is unknown or missing.

[*5] Percent of patient months represented by the corresponding modality percent in 6c. [*6] Sum of eligible HD and PD patients may not add to 6e.

[*7] Claims identified as having 4 or more dialysis sessions per week were excluded from the URR calculations. Among eligible claims in the US, less than 2% were excluded due to frequent dialysis in 2009-2012.

[*8] Claims identified as having 2 or fewer, or 4 or more adult dialysis sessions per week were excluded from the Kt/V calculations.

[*9] Collection of the measures calculated in this section began in July 2010. Includes patients with Medicare as primary insurer and based on the value code D5: Result of last Kt/V.

[*10] Values calculated based only on Kt/V values reported in range.

TABLE 7: Vascular Access Information*1, CMS Fistula First (Jan. 2009 - Apr. 2012) and CROWNWeb (May - Dec. 2012)

| | | | This Fa | cility | | Regional Averages *2, 2012 | | | |
|----|---|------|---------|--------|------|----------------------------|---------|------|--|
| | Measure Name | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | State | Network | U.S. | |
| Va | scular Access | | | | | | | | |
| 7a | Prevalent hemodialysis patient-months *3 | 216 | 429 | 577 | 430 | n/a | n/a | n/a | |
| 7b | Vascular access type in use (% of 7a; sums to 100%) | | | | | | | | |
| | Arteriovenous fistula | 55.1 | 62.2 | 62.7 | 66.5 | 69.3 | 68.1 | 60.5 | |
| | Arteriovenous graft | 13.0 | 13.8 | 16.6 | 17.2 | 13.5 | 13.4 | 19.8 | |
| | Catheter | 31.9 | 24.0 | 20.6 | 16.3 | 17.2 | 18.4 | 19.7 | |
| | Other/Missing | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | |
| 7c | Arteriovenous fistulae in place (% of 7a) *4 | 63.4 | 71.1 | 69.8 | 69.3 | 73.9 | 73.0 | 65.3 | |
| 7d | Catheter only $>= 90$ days (% of 7a)*5 | 14.4 | 10.5 | 8.1 | 6.0 | 6.6 | 7.0 | 7.6 | |
| Va | scular Access at First Treatment | | | | | | | | |
| 7e | Incident hemodialysis patients (n) | 3 | 14 | 23 | 11 | 12.2 | 11.8 | 12.0 | |
| 7f | Vascular access type in use (% of 7e; sums to 100%) | | | | | | | | |
| | Arteriovenous fistula | 66.7 | 28.6 | 30.4 | 36.4 | 30.4 | 28.2 | 18.7 | |
| | Arteriovenous graft | 0.0 | 14.3 | 4.3 | 18.2 | 4.7 | 5.4 | 6.1 | |
| | Catheter | 33.3 | 57.1 | 65.2 | 45.5 | 64.5 | 66.2 | 75.0 | |
| | Other/Missing | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | |
| 7g | Arteriovenous fistulae in place (% of 7e) *4 | 66.7 | 50.0 | 52.2 | 54.5 | 41.9 | 40.6 | 32.2 | |

TABLE 8: Dialysis Access Type and Access-Related Infection Summary for Medicare Dialysis Patients *1 , 2009 - 2012

| | | | This Fa | cility | : | Regional Averages *2 2012 | | | |
|-----|--|-------|---------|--------|--------|---------------------------|---------|-------|--|
| | Measure Name | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | State | Network | U.S. | |
| Va | scular Access *6 *7 | | | | | | | | |
| 8a | Eligible hemodialysis patient-months (n) | | 151 | 423 | 449 | 655.7 | 500.3 | 540.7 | |
| 8b | Hemodialysis vascular access type (% of 8a) | | | | | | | | |
| | Vascular Catheter | · | 14.6 | 15.1 | 13.6 | 14.9 | 16.1 | 18.0 | |
| | Arteriovenous Graft | | 4.0 | 4.0 | 12.2 | 12.4 | 12.8 | 21.2 | |
| | Arteriovenous Fistula Only | | 53.6 | 62.4 | 66.1 | 70.8 | 70.0 | 60.7 | |
| | Other (>1) *8 | | 27.8 | 18.4 | 8.0 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 0.1 | |
| 8c | Vascular catheter reported >3 consecutive months | | 6.9 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 8.7 | 9.9 | 11.9 | |
| He | modialysis (HD) | | | | | | | | |
| 8d | Eligible HD patients (n) | 43 | 47 | 71 | 69 | 98.8 | 78.1 | 81.7 | |
| 8e | Eligible HD patient-months *3 | 190 | 300 | 402 | 437 | 693.4 | 537.8 | 588.6 | |
| 8f | HD infection rate per 100 hemodialysis patient-months *9 | 5.26 | 8.33 | 4.98 | 5.95 | 2.60 | 2.27 | 2.15 | |
| 8g | P-value *10 (compared to U.S. value) *11 | 0.098 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | n/a | n/a | n/a | |
| Per | ritoneal Dialysis (PD) | | | | | | | | |
| 8h | Eligible PD patients (n) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10.0 | 9.6 | 6.8 | |
| 8i | Eligible PD patient-months *3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68.1 | 66.5 | 48.5 | |
| 8j | PD catheter infection rate per 100 PD patient-months *9 | | | | | 2.63 | 2.72 | 3.05 | |
| 8k | P-value *10 (compared to U.S. value) *12 | | | | | n/a | n/a | n/a | |

n/a = not applicable

[*1] See Guide, Section X (Table 7) and Section XI (Table 8).

[*2] Values are shown for the average facility.

[*3] Patients may be counted up to 12 times per year.

[*4] Includes all patients with fistulae, regardless of whether or not they received their hemodialysis treatments using their fistulae.

[*5] Patients listed as graft or catheter may have had fistulae in place for future use, but they actually received their treatment through a graft or catheter.

[*6] Based on V modifiers including V5, V6, and V7 for catheter, graft, and fistula, respectively. Collection began July 2010.

[*7] Vascular access section includes adult patients only. Pediatric vascular access data can be found in the pediatric table.

[*8] Other includes patients with >1 access type, it does not include missing access type.

[*9] The ICD-9 infection code for HD patients is 996.62. The ICD-9 PD catheter infection code for PD patients is 996.68.

[*10] A p-value greater than or equal to 0.05 indicates the differences between the percent of patients with infection at the facility and national percentage is plausibly due to random chance.

[*11] Compared to U.S. value for that year: 3.29 (2009), 2.99 (2010), 2.54 (2011), and 2.15 (2012).

[*12] Compared to U.S. value for that year: 4.00 (2009), 3.29 (2010), 3.13 (2011), and 3.05 (2012).

NKC BROADWAY KIDNEY CENTER State: WA Network: 16 CCN: 502556

TABLE 9: Characteristics of New Dialysis Patients *1 , 2009-2012 (Form CMS-2728)

| | | | This Fa | cility | | Regional Averages *2, | | |
|----------|--|------|---------|--------|------|-----------------------|---------|-----|
| | Measure Name | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | State | Network | U.S |
| Pat | ient Characteristics | | | | | | | |
| 9a | Total number of patients with forms (n) | 5 | 13 | 15 | 12 | 20.9 | 18.2 | 1′ |
| 9b | Average age (years [0-95]) *3 | 58.6 | 55.1 | 62.0 | 68.1 | 62.4 | 62.6 | 6 |
| 9c | Female (% of 9a) | 100 | 61.5 | 53.3 | 33.3 | 44.1 | 41.8 | 4 |
| 9d | Race (% of 9a; sums to 100%)*4 | | | | | | | |
| | African-American | 40.0 | 30.8 | 26.7 | 25.0 | 7.5 | 5.9 | 2 |
| | Asian/Pacific Islander | 40.0 | 30.8 | 46.7 | 41.7 | 10.8 | 7.9 | |
| | Native American | 0.0 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 3.3 | |
| | White | 0.0 | 23.1 | 26.7 | 33.3 | 78.6 | 82.0 | |
| | Other/Unknown/Missing | 20.0 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.9 | |
| Эе | Hispanic (% of 9a) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 6.8 | 6.9 | |
| 9f | Primary cause of ESRD (% of 9a; sums to 100%) | | | | | | | |
| | Diabetes | 80.0 | 38.5 | 46.7 | 41.7 | 45.6 | 45.1 | |
| | Hypertension | 20.0 | 23.1 | 33.3 | 16.7 | 19.7 | 19.5 | |
| | Primary Glomerulonephritis | 0.0 | 15.4 | 13.3 | 16.7 | 11.8 | 11.5 | |
| | Other/Missing | 0.0 | 23.1 | 6.7 | 25.0 | 22.9 | 23.8 | |
|)g | Medical coverage (% of 9a; sums to 100%) | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Employer group only | 0.0 | 23.1 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 12.0 | 12.4 | |
| | Medicare only | 20.0 | 7.7 | 13.3 | 16.7 | 22.4 | 24.2 | |
| | Medicaid only | 40.0 | 46.2 | 13.3 | 16.7 | 12.0 | 10.5 | |
| | Medicare and Medicaid only | 0.0 | 15.4 | 26.7 | 16.7 | 12.0 | 11.4 | |
| | Medicare and Other | 0.0 | 7.7 | 26.7 | 16.7 | 23.9 | 23.8 | |
| | Other/Unknown | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.3 | 9.7 | |
| | None | 20.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 25.0 | 8.3 | 8.0 | |
| ıla | Body Mass Index *5 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| h | Male | | 24.7 | 26.3 | 23.2 | 28.1 | 28.1 | |
| | Female | 23.3 | 27.9 | 25.0 | 21.6 | 28.7 | 28.9 | |
| | | 23.3 | 21.9 | 23.0 | 21.0 | 20.7 | 26.9 | |
|)i | Employment *6 | | | | | | | |
| | Six months prior to ESRD treatment | 0.0 | 14.3 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 32.4 | 34.6 | |
| | At first ESRD treatment | 0.0 | 14.3 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 24.4 | 24.6 | |
|)j | Primary modality (% of 9a; sums to 100%) | | | | | | | |
| | Hemodialysis | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 90.2 | 88.1 | |
| | CAPD/CCPD | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.8 | 11.9 | |
| | Other/Unknown/Missing | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| k | Number of incident hemodialysis patients (n) | 5 | 13 | 15 | 12 | 18.9 | 16.0 | |
| 91 | Access used at first outpatient dialysis (% of 9k; sums to 100%) | | | | | | | |
| | Arteriovenous fistula | 40.0 | 23.1 | 20.0 | 33.3 | 26.5 | 25.9 | |
| | Arteriovenous graft | 0.0 | 15.4 | 6.7 | 16.7 | 4.2 | 3.6 | |
| | Catheter | 60.0 | 61.5 | 73.3 | 50.0 | 69.0 | 70.3 | |
| | Other/Unknown/Missing | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | |
| m | Arteriovenous fistulae placed (% of 9k) | 40.0 | 46.2 | 46.7 | 50.0 | 47.1 | 46.2 | |
| | erage Lab Values Prior to Dialysis *3 | | | | | | | |
| | Hemoglobin (g/dL [3-18]) | 9.4 | 9.0 | 9.8 | 10.4 | 9.6 | 9.8 | |
| 9n 90 | Serum Albumin (g/dL [0.8-6.0]) | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 3.3 | |

(continued)

TABLE 9 (cont.): Characteristics of New Dialysis Patients *1, 2009-2012 (Form CMS-2728)

| | | | This Fa | Regional Averages *2, 2012 | | | | |
|----|--|------|---------|----------------------------|------|-------|---------|------|
| | Measure Name | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | State | Network | U.S. |
| Av | erage Lab Values Prior to Dialysis *3 | | | | | | | |
| 9p | Serum Creatinine (mg/dL [2-33]) | 6.1 | 8.7 | 7.6 | 7.4 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 6.3 |
| 9q | GFR (mL/min [0-60]) | 9.6 | 7.9 | 10.0 | 8.4 | 10.5 | 10.8 | 11.0 |
| Ca | re Prior to ESRD Therapy | | | | | | | |
| 9r | Received ESA prior to ESRD (% of 9a) | 60.0 | 46.2 | 26.7 | 8.3 | 27.0 | 24.3 | 16. |
| 9s | Pre-ESRD nephrologist care (% of 9a; sums to 100%) | | | | | | | |
| | No | 0.0 | 7.7 | 20.0 | 33.3 | 23.3 | 21.4 | 27. |
| | Yes, < 6 months | 20.0 | 30.8 | 20.0 | 8.3 | 13.4 | 12.1 | 13. |
| | Yes, 6-12 months | 40.0 | 30.8 | 33.3 | 8.3 | 19.1 | 20.4 | 18. |
| | Yes, > 12 months | 40.0 | 30.8 | 26.7 | 50.0 | 41.6 | 42.9 | 28. |
| | Unknown/missing | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 12. |
| 9t | Informed of transplant options (% of 9a) | 60.0 | 38.5 | 46.7 | 75.0 | 70.9 | 71.8 | 78. |
| 9u | Patients not informed of transplant options (n) | 2 | 8 | 8 | 3 | 5.4 | 4.4 | 3. |
| 9v | Reason not informed (% of 9u; may not sum to 100%) | | | | | | | |
| | Medically unfit | 50.0 | 50.0 | 37.5 | 33.3 | 50.9 | 47.3 | 36. |
| | Unsuitable due to age | 0.0 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.3 | 17.2 | 25. |
| | Psychologically unfit | 50.0 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3. |
| | Patient declined information | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1. |
| | Patient has not been assessed | 0.0 | 25.0 | 37.5 | 66.7 | 35.8 | 39.6 | 39. |
| Co | morbid Conditions | | | | | | | |
| 9w | Pre-existing Comorbidity (% yes of 9a) | | | | | | | |
| | Congestive heart failure | 60.0 | 38.5 | 26.7 | 33.3 | 30.5 | 31.4 | 30. |
| | Atherosclerotic heart disease *7 | 40.0 | 15.4 | 13.3 | 25.0 | 21.6 | 23.7 | 18. |
| | Other cardiac disorder *7 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 8.3 | 16.0 | 15.2 | 18. |
| | CVD, CVA, TIA | 20.0 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 25.0 | 9.9 | 9.8 | 9. |
| | Peripheral vascular disease | 20.0 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 25.0 | 15.0 | 15.3 | 12. |
| | History of hypertension | 100 | 92.3 | 93.3 | 91.7 | 87.0 | 86.9 | 86. |
| | Diabetes *7 | 80.0 | 38.5 | 46.7 | 50.0 | 57.9 | 57.5 | 60. |
| | Diabetes on insulin | 60.0 | 23.1 | 40.0 | 41.7 | 42.0 | 41.6 | 39. |
| | COPD | 0.0 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.4 | 10.0 | 9. |
| | Current smoker | 20.0 | 23.1 | 20.0 | 25.0 | 7.5 | 7.7 | 6. |
| | Cancer | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.6 | 8.0 | 7. |
| | Alcohol dependence | 0.0 | 7.7 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1. |
| | Drug dependence | 0.0 | 15.4 | 13.3 | 25.0 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 1. |
| | Inability to ambulate | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 7. |
| | Inability to transfer | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 3. |
| 9x | Average number of comorbid conditions | 4.2 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3. |

n/a= not applicable

|*| | See Guide, Section XII.

|*2 | Values are shown for the average facility.

|*3 | For continuous variables, summaries include only responses in range indicated in brackets.

|*4 | Asian' includes Indian sub-continent. Native American' includes Alaskan Native. White' includes Middle Eastern and Arabian.

|*5 | The median BMI is computed for adult patients at least 20 years old.

|*6 | Full-time, part-time, or student (% of 18-60 year olds).

|*7 | 'Atherosclerotic heart disease' includes ischemic heart disease (coronary artery disease) and myocardial infarction. 'Other cardiac disorder' includes cardiac arrest, cardiac dysrhythmia, and pericarditis. 'Diabetes' includes patients with diabetes as the primary cause of ESRD.

TABLE 10: Summaries for All Dialysis Patients Treated as of December 31st of Each Year *1, 2009-2012

| | | | This Facility | | | Regional Averages *2, 2012 | | |
|-----|--|------|---------------|------|------|----------------------------|---------|------|
| | Measure Name | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | State | Network | U.S. |
| 10a | Patients treated on 12/31 (n) | 31 | 35 | 39 | 39 | 73.8 | 61.5 | 64.4 |
| 10b | Average age (years) | 60.3 | 58.4 | 57.6 | 59.7 | 61.5 | 61.5 | 61.5 |
| 10c | Age (% of 10a; sums to 100%) | | | | | | | |
| | < 18 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| | 18-64 | 54.8 | 60.0 | 66.7 | 64.1 | 54.6 | 54.3 | 55.4 |
| | 65+ | 45.2 | 40.0 | 33.3 | 35.9 | 45.0 | 45.4 | 44.3 |
| 10d | Female (% of 10a) | 38.7 | 37.1 | 48.7 | 43.6 | 44.7 | 43.5 | 44.6 |
| 10e | Race (% of 10a; sums to 100%) *3 | | | | | | | |
| | African American | 38.7 | 40.0 | 35.9 | 35.9 | 11.9 | 9.0 | 37.2 |
| | Asian/Pacific Islander | 35.5 | 34.3 | 25.6 | 23.1 | 14.0 | 10.3 | 5.4 |
| | Native American | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 3.9 | 1.4 |
| | White | 22.6 | 20.0 | 35.9 | 38.5 | 70.9 | 76.2 | 55.2 |
| | Other/Unknown/Missing | 3.2 | 5.7 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| 10f | Ethnicity (% of 10a; sums to 100%) | | | | | | | |
| | Hispanic | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 9.9 | 9.7 | 16.0 |
| | Non-Hispanic | 96.8 | 97.1 | 94.9 | 94.9 | 89.0 | 89.3 | 81 |
| | Unknown | 3.2 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 2. |
| 10g | Cause of ESRD (% of 10a; sums to 100%) | | | | | | | |
| | Diabetes | 38.7 | 34.3 | 35.9 | 35.9 | 45.4 | 43.8 | 44.2 |
| | Hypertension | 22.6 | 20.0 | 25.6 | 30.8 | 18.3 | 18.1 | 28.5 |
| | Glomerulonephritis | 25.8 | 25.7 | 20.5 | 17.9 | 14.6 | 15.3 | 11.0 |
| | Other/Unknown | 9.7 | 17.1 | 12.8 | 10.3 | 20.4 | 21.7 | 14.3 |
| | Missing | 3.2 | 2.9 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.9 |
| 10h | Average duration of ESRD (years) | 6.5 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.6 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4. |
| 10i | Years since start of ESRD (% of 10a; sums to 100%) | | | | | | | |
| | < 1 | 22.6 | 22.9 | 28.2 | 17.9 | 17.0 | 17.4 | 16.4 |
| | 1-2 | 6.5 | 17.1 | 10.3 | 25.6 | 18.8 | 18.2 | 17.2 |
| | 2-3 | 12.9 | 14.3 | 20.5 | 5.1 | 14.3 | 14.8 | 14.2 |
| | 3-6 | 22.6 | 14.3 | 10.3 | 23.1 | 25.9 | 26.0 | 26.9 |
| | 6+ | 35.5 | 31.4 | 30.8 | 28.2 | 24.1 | 23.6 | 25.4 |
| 10j | Nursing home patients (% of 10a) *4 | 9.7 | 8.6 | 5.1 | 7.7 | 6.1 | 5.4 | 6.2 |
| 10k | Modality (% of 10a; sums to 100%) | | | | | | | |
| | In-center hemodialysis | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 85.7 | 83.8 | 88.6 |
| | Home hemodialysis | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 1. |
| | Continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 2.6 | 1.9 |
| | Continuous cycling peritoneal dialysis | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 10.3 | 7.3 |
| | Other modality *5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 |

n/a = not applicable

*1] See Guide, Section XIII.

*2] Values are shown for the average facility.

*3] Asian includes Indian sub-continent. Native American includes Alaskan Native. White includes Middle Eastern and Arabian.

*4] Includes patients who were also treated by a nursing facility at any time during the year. The source of nursing facility history of patients is the Nursing Home Minimum Dataset.

*5] Other modality includes other dialysis, uncertain modality, and patients not on dialysis but still temporarily assigned to the facility (discontinued dialysis, recovered renal function, and lost to follow up).

TABLE 11: Comorbidities Reported on Medicare Claims for Medicare Dialysis Patients Treated as of December 31st of Each Year *1, 2009-2012

| | | | This Facility | | | Regional Averages *2, 2012 | | |
|-----|---|------|---------------|------|------|----------------------------|---------|------|
| | Measure Name | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | State | Network | U.S. |
| 11a | Medicare dialysis patients on 12/31 (n) | 22 | 24 | 33 | 32 | 58.8 | 48.4 | 51. |
| 11b | Comorbidity (% yes of 11a) | | | | | | | |
| | Infections | | | | | | | |
| | AIDS/HIV positive | 4.5 | 4.2 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 1. |
| | Dialysis access-related | 31.8 | 33.3 | 24.2 | 21.9 | 15.2 | 14.5 | 14. |
| | Hepatitis B | 4.5 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 6.3 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 2. |
| | Hepatitis other | 13.6 | 20.8 | 15.2 | 18.8 | 6.0 | 5.1 | 6. |
| | Metastatic | 4.5 | 8.3 | 6.1 | 3.1 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 3. |
| | Pneumonia | 4.5 | 12.5 | 6.1 | 6.3 | 5.2 | 4.9 | 5. |
| | Tuberculosis | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0. |
| | Other | 59.1 | 45.8 | 45.5 | 40.6 | 39.5 | 38.1 | 43. |
| | Cardiovascular | | | | | | | |
| | Cardiac arrest | 0.0 | 4.2 | 6.1 | 9.4 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1. |
| | Cardiac dysrhythmia | 50.0 | 37.5 | 48.5 | 25.0 | 32.7 | 32.1 | 34. |
| | Cerebrovascular disease | 22.7 | 37.5 | 21.2 | 12.5 | 22.7 | 20.3 | 25. |
| | Congestive heart failure | 36.4 | 45.8 | 27.3 | 25.0 | 45.0 | 43.7 | 48. |
| | Ischemic heart disease | 40.9 | 37.5 | 21.2 | 25.0 | 39.4 | 39.6 | 47. |
| | Myocardial infarction | 0.0 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 9.4 | 7.3 | 7.5 | 7. |
| | Peripheral vascular disease *3 | 40.9 | 54.2 | 27.3 | 28.1 | 38.3 | 35.1 | 41. |
| | Other | | | | | | | |
| | Alcohol dependence | 9.1 | 4.2 | 9.1 | 15.6 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 2. |
| | Anemia | 13.6 | 4.2 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 8. |
| | Cancer | 9.1 | 4.2 | 6.1 | 3.1 | 9.4 | 9.0 | 10. |
| | Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease | 31.8 | 33.3 | 24.2 | 15.6 | 28.5 | 28.1 | 29. |
| | Diabetes | 59.1 | 58.3 | 51.5 | 43.8 | 59.8 | 58.0 | 63. |
| | Drug dependence | 13.6 | 8.3 | 6.1 | 12.5 | 4.5 | 4.0 | 2. |
| | Gastrointestinal tract bleeding | 4.5 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 6.3 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 3. |
| | Hyperparathyroidism | 86.4 | 91.7 | 100 | 93.8 | 88.5 | 86.5 | 87. |
| 11c | Average number of comorbid conditions | 5.4 | 5.6 | 4.6 | 4.3 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 4. |

n/a = not applicable

[*1] Based on patients with Medicare as primary insurer on 12/31 each year. See *Guide, Section XIV*.

[*2] Values are shown for the average facility.

[*3] Peripheral vascular disease includes both venous, arterial and nonspecific peripheral vascular diseases.

TABLE 12: How Patients Were Assigned to This Facility and End of Year Patient Status*1, 2009-2012

| | | | This Fa | cility | Regional Averages *2, 2012 | | | |
|-----|---|------|---------|--------|----------------------------|-------|---------|------|
| | Measure Name | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | State | Network | U.S. |
| 12a | Number of patients placed in facility *1 (n) | 36 | 52 | 63 | 58 | 107.7 | 89.0 | 91.8 |
| 12b | Initial patient placement for the year (% of 12a; sums to 100%) | | | | | | | |
| | Continuing at facility on 01/01 | 0.0 | 59.6 | 55.6 | 67.2 | 67.3 | 68.0 | 69.4 |
| | Incident (new to ESRD) | 8.3 | 26.9 | 28.6 | 10.3 | 18.6 | 19.0 | 18.2 |
| | Transferred into facility | 91.7 | 13.5 | 15.9 | 22.4 | 14.1 | 13.0 | 12.4 |
| 12c | Patient status at end of year (% of 12a; sums to 100%) | | | | | | | |
| | Alive in this facility on 12/31 | 86.1 | 67.3 | 61.9 | 67.2 | 68.5 | 69.1 | 70.2 |
| | Alive in another facility on 12/31 | 5.6 | 15.4 | 11.1 | 15.5 | 9.8 | 8.7 | 8.4 |
| | Received a transplant | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.2 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 2.5 |
| | Died; death attributed to this facility | 8.3 | 11.5 | 12.7 | 8.6 | 12.4 | 12.2 | 12.7 |
| | Died; death attributed to another facility | 0.0 | 5.8 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| | Other*3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 3.4 | 4.2 | 5.3 | 4.8 |

^[*1] Patient assignment for Tables 1,2,3,10, 11 and 12 only. See Guide, Section XV.
[*2] Values are shown for the average facility.
[*3] Also includes dialysis unrelated deaths. Dialysis unrelated deaths are not attributed to any facility for the purposes of the mortality calculations in this report.

TABLE 13: Patient and Staff Counts from the Annual Facility Survey (Form CMS-2744) *1, 2009-2012

| | | This Facility | | | Regional Averages *2, 2012 | | | |
|-----|--|---------------|------|------|----------------------------|-------|---------|-------|
| | Measure Name | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | State | Network | U.S. |
| Pat | tients Treated During the Year | | | | | | | |
| 13a | Patients treated during year (n) | 46 | 59 | 111 | 75 | 123.4 | 104.0 | 104.6 |
| 13b | Incident patients (% of 13a) | 10.9 | 22.0 | 13.5 | 16.0 | 16.2 | 16.8 | 17.0 |
| 13c | Transferred into facility (% of 13a) | 84.8 | 23.7 | 48.6 | 18.7 | 18.7 | 17.8 | 15.2 |
| 13d | Transferred out of facility (% of 13a) | 23.9 | 27.1 | 51.4 | 30.7 | 18.3 | 17.5 | 14.9 |
| Pat | tients Treated on 12/31 | | | | | | | |
| 13e | Patients treated on 12/31 (n) | 32 | 38 | 45 | 45 | 81.9 | 69.8 | 72.0 |
| 13f | Patient modality on 12/31 (n; sums to 13e) | | | | | | | |
| | In-center HD | 32 | 38 | 45 | 45 | 70.8 | 59.1 | 64.2 |
| | Frequency <= 4 times per week | 32 | 38 | 45 | 45 | 70.8 | 59.1 | 64.2 |
| | Frequency > 4 times per week | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | In-center CAPD*3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | In-center CCPD*3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | In-center Other *3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | Home HD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 1.2 |
| | Frequency <= 4 times per week | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| | Frequency > 4 times per week | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 0.6 |
| | Home CAPD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 |
| | Home CCPD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.8 | 6.7 | 5.0 |
| | Home Other*3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 13g | Vocational Rehabilitation: Patients aged 18-54 (n) | 10 | 14 | 19 | 16 | 24.4 | 20.9 | 21.7 |
| | Employed (full or part-time) (% of 13g) | 30.0 | 21.4 | 10.5 | 6.3 | 18.0 | 16.0 | 8.7 |
| | Attending School (full or part-time) (% of 13g) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 0.7 |
| 13h | Medicare eligibility status on 12/31 (% of 13e; sums to 100% *4) | | | | | | | |
| | Medicare | 81.3 | 71.1 | 86.7 | 88.9 | 85.9 | 87.4 | 85.7 |
| | Medicare application pending | 9.4 | 13.2 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 2.7 |
| | Non-Medicare | 9.4 | 15.8 | 6.7 | 11.1 | 12.3 | 10.8 | 11.6 |
| Fac | cility Staffing on 12/31 *5 | | | | | | | |
| 13i | Total full and part time staff positions (n) | 16 | 11 | 28 | 15 | 18.8 | 15.8 | 14.5 |
| 13j | Staff positions by type (n; sums to 13i) | | | | | | | |
| | Full time nurse *6 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 5.0 |
| | Full time patient care technician | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 7.0 | 5.9 | 5.6 |
| | Full time renal dietician | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.6 |
| | Full time social worker | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 |
| | Part time nurse*6 | 9 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 0.9 |
| | Part time patient care technician | 5 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 0.7 |
| | Part time renal dietician | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| | Part time social worker | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.5 |

^[*1] See Guide, Section XVI (Table 13).

[*2] Values are shown for the average facility.

[*3] Due to rounding, regional average may be slightly greater than 0 (<0.05).

[*4] Values may not sum to exactly 100% because of unknown Medicare status.

[*5] Data as of June 24, 2013. A full time position is defined as a position with at least 32 hours of employment per week, and a part time position is defined as a position with less than 32 hours of employment per week (includes positions that were opened but not filled on this date).

[*6] Nursing staff includes registered nurse, licensed practical nurse, vocational nurse, or advanced practice nurse degree.

TABLE 14: Survey and Certification Activity*1

| | | This Facility | Regional Averages | | |
|-----|---|--------------------|-------------------|---------|------|
| | Measure Name | | State | Network | U.S. |
| 14a | Date of last survey | 07/12/2012 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 14b | Type of last survey | Recertification | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 14c | Compliance condition after last survey | Meets requirements | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 14d | Number of deficiencies cited at last survey | | | | |
| | Condition for coverage (CfC) deficiencies | 0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| | Standard deficiencies | 7 | 11.1 | 6.7 | 6.7 |
| 14e | CfC deficiencies cited at last survey *2 | | | | |
| | V100 Compliance with Fed., State, and Local Laws | No, not cited | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | V110 Infection Control | No, not cited | 3.9 | 2.8 | 5.3 |
| | V175 Water and Dialysate Quality | No, not cited | 3.9 | 5.6 | 3.7 |
| | V300 Reuse of Hemodialysis and Bloodlines | No, not cited | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| | V400 Physical Environment | No, not cited | 1.3 | 1.7 | 2.7 |
| | V450 Patient Rights | No, not cited | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| | V500 Patient Assessment | No, not cited | 1.3 | 1.1 | 3.2 |
| | V540 Patient Plan of Care | No, not cited | 1.3 | 2.8 | 3.7 |
| | V580 Care at Home | No, not cited | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| | V625 Quality Assessment & Performance Improvement | No, not cited | 2.6 | 3.9 | 4.5 |
| | V660 Special Purpose Renal Dialysis Facilities | No, not cited | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | V675 Laboratory Services | No, not cited | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | V680 Personnel Qualifications | No, not cited | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| | V710 Responsibilities of the Medical Director | No, not cited | 2.6 | 2.8 | 4.2 |
| | V725 Medical Records | No, not cited | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| | V750 Governance | No, not cited | 7.8 | 5.0 | 3.8 |

TABLE 15: Facility Information*1, 2013

| Characteristic | This Facility |
|---|--------------------------|
| Ownership: | Nonprofit |
| Organization: | NORTHWEST KIDNEY CENTERS |
| Initial Medicare certification date: | 06/05/2009 |
| Number of stations *2: | 15 |
| Services provided *2: | Hemodialysis |
| CMS Certification Number (CCN) included in this report: | 502556 |
| National Provider Identifier (NPI) *3: | 1700025038 |

n/a = not applicable
[*1] See *Guide, Section XVII.* Data on this table are from the facility's latest survey since January 2009. If your facility has not been surveyed since January 2009, facility-level data on this table will be missing.
[*2] Regional values are the percentage of surveys that were cited for the respective CfC deficiency.

^[*1] Information based on SIMS data as of March 31, 2013. See *Guide*, Section XVIII.
[*2] Information based on data available on DFC as of May, 2013.
[*3] Information based on CROWNWeb data as of December, 2012. If missing, data were not available.