Dialysis Facility Report for Fiscal Year (FY) 2016

Purpose of the Report

The *Dialysis Facility Report (DFR) for FY 2016* is provided as a resource for characterizing selected aspects of clinical experience at this facility relative to other caregivers in this state, ESRD Network, and across the United States. Since these data could be useful in quality improvement and assurance activities, each state's surveying agency may utilize this report as a resource during the FY 2016 survey and certification process.

This report has been prepared for this facility by the University of Michigan Kidney Epidemiology and Cost Center (UM-KECC) with funding from the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) and is based primarily on Medicare claims and data collected for CMS. It is the twentieth in a series of annual reports. This is one of 6,620 reports that have been distributed to ESRD providers in the U.S.

This DFR includes data specific to CCN(s): 502505

Overview: This report includes summaries of patient characteristics, treatment patterns, and patient outcomes for chronic dialysis patients who were treated in this facility between January 2011 and December 2014. Mortality, hospitalization, and transplantation statistics are reported for a three- or four-year period. Regional and national averages are included to allow for comparisons. Several of the summaries of patient mortality, hospitalization, and transplantation are adjusted to account for the characteristics of the patient mix at this facility, such as age, sex and diabetes as a cause of ESRD. Unless otherwise specified, data refer to hemodialysis (HD) and peritoneal dialysis (PD) patients combined.

Selected highlights from this report are given on pages 2 through 4. For a complete description of the methods used to calculate the statistics in this report, please see the *Guide to the Dialysis Facility Reports for FY 2016*. The *Guide* may be downloaded from the methodology section of the Dialysis Data website at www.DialysisData.org.

What's New This Year: As part of a continuing effort to improve the quality and relevance of this report for your facility, the following changes have been incorporated into the DFR for FY 2016. A new section reporting readmission summaries for each year along with regional comparison values have been added to Table 2. The CROWNWeb clinical data table (Table 14) has added information on the ultrafiltration ratio among hemodialysis patients.

How to Submit Comments

Between July 15, 2015 and August 15, 2015, facilities may submit comments to their state surveyor or UM-KECC by visiting www.DialysisData.org, logging on to view their report, and clicking on the **Comments & Inquiries** tab. Questions or comments after the comment period is over may be submitted to us directly at DialysisData@umich.edu or 1-855-764-2885.


- (1) **State Surveyor:** Dialysis facilities may submit comments on the DFR for their state's surveyors. State surveyor(s) will receive a copy of their DFR with the comments they submitted in September 2015.
- (2) **UM-KECC:** Submit questions or suggestions to improve the DFR to UM-KECC. These comments will not be shared with CMS or your state surveyor.

Facility Highlights

Standardized Mortality Ratio (SMR) (Table 1):

• At this facility, the 2011-2014 SMR is 1.02, which is 2% more deaths than expected. Among all U.S. facilities, 55% of facilities had a four-year SMR (2011-2014) lower than 1.02. This difference is not statistically significant (p>=0.05), so this higher mortality could plausibly be just a chance occurrence. The 2011-2014 SMR of observed to expected deaths is 0.98 and 0.95 for your State and Network, respectively.

The markers show the values of the SMR for this facility, State, Network, and Nation. The bolded horizontal line shows the range of uncertainty due to random variation (95% confidence interval; significant if it does not cross the 1.0 reference line). Regional and national SMR are plotted above the dotted line to allow for comparisons to facility values.




• At this facility, the 2011-2013 first-year SMR of observed to expected deaths is 1.00 The first-year SMR (2011-2013) of observed to expected deaths is 0.85 and 0.83 for your State and Network, respectively.

Standardized Hospitalization Ratio (SHR) (Table 2):


- The 2011-2014 SHR (ED) at this facility is 0.94, which is 6% fewer ED visits than expected. This difference is not statistically significant (p>=0.05), so this lower ED visit ratio could plausibly be just a chance occurrence. The 2011-2014 SHR (ED) for your State and Network is 0.97 and 0.95, respectively.
- The 2011-2014 SHR (Days) at this facility is 0.78, which is 22% fewer days hospitalized than expected. This difference is not statistically significant (p>=0.05), so this lower hospitalization could plausibly be just a chance occurrence. The 2011-2014 SHR (Days) for your State and Network is 0.72 and 0.70, respectively.
- The 2011-2014 SHR (Admissions) at this facility is 0.95, which is 5% fewer admissions hospitalized than expected. This difference is not statistically significant (p>=0.05), so this lower hospitalization could plausibly be just a chance occurrence. The 2011-2014 SHR (Admissions) for your State and Network is 0.89 and 0.87, respectively.


The markers show the values of the SHR (Admissions) for this facility, State, Network, and Nation. The bolded horizontal line shows the range of uncertainty due to random variation (95% confidence interval; significant if it does not cross the 1.0 reference line). Regional and national SHR (Admissions) are plotted above the dotted line to allow for comparisons to facility values.




Infection (Tables 2 and 8):

- The percentage of Medicare dialysis patients at this facility hospitalized with septicemia during 2011-2014 was 13.8%, compared to 11.3% in your State, 10.1% in your Network, and 10.9% nationally.
- The rate of HD infection among HD patients at this facility in 2014 was 1.5 per 100 HD patient-months, compared to 1.7 in your State, 1.6 in your Network, and 1.7 nationally.
- The rate of PD catheter-related infection was 0.0 per 100 PD patient-months, compared to 3.6 in your State, 2.9 in your Network, and 3.1 nationally.







Transplantation (Table 3):

- Of the patients under age 70 treated at this facility during 2011-2014 who had not previously received a transplant, 8% were transplanted annually, while a rate of 4% would be expected for these patients.
- The 2011-2014 Standardized 1st Transplantation Ratio (STR) of observed to expected number of patients transplanted for this facility is 1.80, which is 80% higher than expected for this facility. This difference is not statistically significant (p>=0.05) and could plausibly be due to random chance. The 2011-2014 STR for your State and Network is 1.42 and 1.30, respectively.


Transplant Waitlist (Table 4):


• Among the 33 dialysis patients under age 70 treated at this facility on December 31, 2014, 24% were on the kidney transplant waitlist compared to 24% nationally. This difference is not statistically significant (p>=0.05) and is plausibly due to random chance. The percentage of patients on the kidney transplant waitlist on December 31, 2014 in your State and Network is 21% and 19%, respectively.


Influenza Vaccination (Table 5):

• Among the 45 Medicare dialysis patients treated at this facility on December 31, 2014, 87% were vaccinated between August 1 and December 31, 2014 compared to 74% nationally. This difference is statistically significant (p<0.05) and is unlikely to be due to random chance. The percentage of patients vaccinated in your State, Network, and nation is 75%, 74%, and 74%, respectively.










Practice Patterns (Tables 6 and 7):

- Among the 50 ESA-treated dialysis patients included in the analysis in 2014, the average hemoglobin calculated is 10.4 g/dL, compared to 10.5 g/dL in your State, 10.5 g/dL in your Network, and 10.5 g/dL nationally.
- Among the 60 HD patients in this facility included in the analysis in 2014, 100% had URR above the KDOQI minimum value for URR (65%), compared to 99% in your State, 99% in your Network, and 99% nationally.
- In 2014, 93% of eligible HD patient-months had a Kt/V >=1.2, compared to 93% in your State, 93% in your Network, and 93% nationally.
- In 2014, 0% of eligible PD patient-months had a Kt/V >=1.7, compared to 89% in your State, 86% in your Network, and 84% nationally.
- At this facility in 2014, an average of 50% of incident patients had arteriovenous (AV) fistulae in place, compared to 31% in your State, 30% in your Network, and 22% nationally.
- Of the prevalent patients receiving hemodialysis treatment at this facility in 2014, 4% had a catheter which had been in place for at least 90 days as their only vascular access, compared to 7% in your State, 7% in your Network, and 9% nationally.

Patient Characteristics (Tables 9 and 10):

- Among the 10 patients with Medical Evidence Forms (CMS-2728) indicating treatment at this facility during 2014:
 - 10% of these patients were not under the care of a nephrologist before starting dialysis, compared to 19% in your State, 20% in your Network, and 25% nationally.
 - •50% of these patients were informed of their transplant options, compared to 70% in your State, 74% in your Network, and 83% nationally.
- Among the patients treated at this facility on December 31, 2014, 19% were treated in a nursing home during the year, compared to 14% nationally.



Prepared by The University of Michigan Kidney Epidemiology and Cost Center (UM-KECC) under contract with the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

TABLE 1: Mortality Summary for All Dialysis Patients (2011-14) & New Dialysis Patients (2011-13) *1

			7	This Facil	lity			onal Average Year, 2011-20	
	Measure Name	2011	2012	2013	2014	2011-2014	State	Network	U.S.
All	Patients: Death Rates								
1a	Patients (n=number)	111	109	95	86	401 *8	96.3	79.7	93.3
1b	Patient-years (PY) at risk (n)	75.5	72.8	68.4	61.5	278.3 *8	67.7	56.0	63.4
1c	Deaths (n)	16	15	15	9	55 *8	12.5	10.2	11.2
1d	Expected deaths (n)	15.9	13.8	12.6	11.4	53.7 *8	12.7	10.6	11.2
All	Patients: Categories of Death								
1e	Withdrawal from dialysis prior to death (% of 1c)	56.3	46.7	60.0	55.6	54.5	40.2	41.3	25.3
1f	Death due to Infections (% of 1c)	6.3	6.7	6.7	0.0	5.5	14.1	14.0	12.8
	Death due to Cardiac causes (% of 1c)	50.0	26.7	53.3	33.3	41.8	37.2	40.2	44.9
1g	Dialysis unrelated deaths *3 (n; excluded from SMR)	0	0	0	0	0 *8	0.1	0.1	0.
All	Patients: Standardized Mortality Ratio (SMR)								
1h	SMR *4	1.00	1.09	1.19	0.79	1.02	0.98	0.95	1.00
1i	P-value*5	0.999	0.810	0.565	0.593	0.896	n/a	n/a	n/a
1j	Confidence interval for SMR*6								
	High (97.5% limit)	1.63	1.80	1.97	1.50	1.33	n/a	n/a	n/a
	Low (2.5% limit)	0.57	0.61	0.67	0.36	0.77	n/a	n/a	n/a
1k	SMR percentiles for this facility (i.e., percent of facilities	with lower m	ortality ra	tes) *7					
	In this State	58	72	76	31	64	n/a	n/a	n/a
	In this Network	58	74	80	33	67	n/a	n/a	n/a
	In the U.S.	51	61	70	31	55	n/a	n/a	n/a
							Re	gional Avera	ages *2,
Ne	ew Patients: First Year Death Rates	2011	2012	2013		2011-2013	per	Year, 2011-	2013
11	New patients (n=number)	24	23	19		66 *8	20.3	17.1	17.5
lm	Patient-years (PY) at risk (n)	20.7	18.8	17.4		57.0 *8	18.0	15.1	15.2
1n	Deaths (n)	7	5	2		14 *8	3.6	3.0	3.6
1o	Expected deaths (n)	4.8	4.4	4.8		14.0 *8	4.2	3.6	3.6
Ne	w Patients: Categories of Deaths								
1p	Withdrawal from dialysis prior to death (% of 1n)	42.9	80.0	100		64.3	42.1	42.8	27.2
1q	Death due to Infections (% of 1n)	14.3	0.0	0.0		7.1	13.8	13.5	11.6
	Death due to Cardiac causes (% of 1n)	14.3	40.0	50.0		28.6	34.5	39.0	40.5
Ne	w Patients: First Year Standardized Mortality Ra	tio (SMR)							
1r	SMR *4	1.45	1.14	0.42		1.00	0.85	0.83	1.00
1s	P-value *5	0.430	0.889	0.288		0.999	n/a	n/a	n/a
1t	Confidence interval for SMR *6								
	High (97.5% limit)	2.98	2.67	1.51		1.68	n/a	n/a	n/a
	Low (2.5% limit)	0.58	0.37	0.05		0.55	n/a	n/a	n/a
1u	First Year SMR percentiles for this facility (i.e., percent of	of facilities wi	ith lower n	nortality ra	ites) *7				
	In this State	88	67	23	•	68	n/a	n/a	n/a
	In this Network	84	69	26		69	n/a	n/a	n/a
	In the U.S.	76	63	22		54	n/a	n/a	n/a

n/a = not applicable

[*1] See Guide, Section IV.

[*2] Values are shown for the average facility, annualized.

[*3] Defined as deaths due to street drugs and accidents unrelated to treatment.

[*4] Calculated as a ratio of deaths to expected deaths (1c to 1d for all patients, 1n to 1o for new patients);not shown if there are fewer than 3 expected deaths.

[*5] A p-value less than 0.05 indicates that the difference between the actual and expected mortality is probably real and is not due to random chance alone, while a p-value greater than or equal to 0.05 indicates that the difference could plausibly be due to random chance.

[*6] The confidence interval range represents uncertainty in the value of the SMR due to random variation.

[*7] All facilities are included in ranking, regardless of the number of expected deaths.

[*8] Sum of 4 years (all patients) or 3 years (new patients) used for calculations; should not be compared to regional averages.

NKC LAKE WASHINGTON KIDNEY CENTER State: WA Network: 16 CCN: 502505

TABLE 2: Hospitalization Summary for Medicare Dialysis Patients st_1 , 2011-2014

			Т	his Facili			onal Average Year, 2011-20		
	Measure Name	2011	2012	2013	2014	2011-2014	State	Network	U.S.
Me	dicare Dialysis Patients								
2a	Medicare dialysis patients (n)	89	87	78	73	327 *3	77.2	62.9	73.0
2b	Patient-years (PY) at risk (n)	60.8	58.6	55.1	51.3	225.9*3	50.9	40.8	45.6
Da	ys Hospitalized Statistics								
2c	Total days hospitalized (n)	652	752	552	359	2315 *3	493.5	379.7	604.1
2d	Expected total days hospitalized (n)	827.9	772.7	698.0	669.6	2968.2 *3	685.6	542.0	605.4
2e	Standardized Hospitalization Ratio (Days)*4	0.79	0.97	0.79	0.54	0.78	0.72	0.70	1.00
2f	P-value *5	0.588	0.999	0.586	0.174	0.479	n/a	n/a	n/a
2g	Confidence interval for SHR (Days)*6								
	High (97.5% limit)	1.64	1.88	1.61	1.28	1.43	n/a	n/a	n/a
	Low (2.5% limit)	0.42	0.55	0.43	0.25	0.47	n/a	n/a	n/a
2h	Percentiles for this facility (i.e., % of facilities with lower hosp	italization rates	[days]) *7						
	In this State	75	92	60	22	65	n/a	n/a	n/a
	In this Network	76	86	68	24	71	n/a	n/a	n/a
	In the U.S.	34	55	34	10	29	n/a	n/a	n/a
Ad	mission Statistics								
2i	Total admissions (n)	91	134	104	70	399 *3	85.8	66.3	84.8
2j	Expected total admissions (n)	118.2	109.1	98.2	94.4	419.9*3	96.5	76.5	84.8
2k	Standardized Hospitalization Ratio (Admissions) *4	0.77	1.23	1.06	0.74	0.95	0.89	0.87	1.00
21	P-value *5	0.411	0.322	0.762	0.336	0.873	n/a	n/a	n/a
2m	Confidence interval for SHR (Admissions)*6								
	High (97.5% limit)	1.39	1.95	1.74	1.34	1.48	n/a	n/a	n/a
	Low (2.5% limit)	0.45	0.80	0.67	0.42	0.63	n/a	n/a	n/a
2n	Percentiles for this facility (i.e., % of facilities with lower hosp	italization rates	[admission	ns])*7					
	In this State	31	93	80	29	69	n/a	n/a	n/a
	In this Network	38	91	81	33	67	n/a	n/a	n/a
	In the U.S.	23	79	62	20	47	n/a	n/a	n/a
2o	Diagnoses associated with hospitalization (% of 2a) *8								
	Septicemia	14.6	11.5	15.4	13.7	13.8	11.3	10.1	10.9
	Acute myocardial infarction	4.5	5.7	0.0	1.4	3.1	4.5	4.4	4.2
	Congestive heart failure	24.7	27.6	23.1	24.7	25.1	22.6	21.1	23.7
	Cardiac dysrhythmia	21.3	25.3	23.1	20.5	22.6	17.6	16.1	16.2
	Cardiac arrest	1.1	3.4	1.3	1.4	1.8	2.0	1.9	2.1
2p	One day admissions (% of 2i)	19.8	23.9	15.4	11.4	18.5	15.9	15.6	12.5
2q	Average length of stay (days per admission; 2c/2i)	7.2	5.6	5.3	5.1	5.8	5.8	5.7	7.1

TABLE 2 (cont.): Hospitalization Summary for Medicare Dialysis Patients *1, 2011-2014

			Т	Regional Averages *2, per Year, 2011-2014					
	Measure Name	2011	2012	2013	2014	2011-2014	State	Network	U.S.
Em	ergency Department (ED) Statistics								,
2r	Total ED visits (n)	148	208	174	104	634 *3	152.4	118.9	137.9
2s	Expected total ED visits (n)	180	174	162	161	677 *3	156.7	124.8	138.4
2t	Standardized Hospitalization Ratio (ED)*4	0.82	1.19	1.07	0.65	0.94	0.97	0.95	1.00
2u	P-value *5	0.470	0.359	0.734	0.132	0.745	n/a	n/a	n/a
2v	Confidence interval for SHR (ED)*6								
	High (97.5% limit)	1.37	1.80	1.67	1.14	1.37	n/a	n/a	n/a
	Low (2.5% limit)	0.51	0.81	0.70	0.37	0.64	n/a	n/a	n/a
2w	Percentiles for this facility (i.e., % of facilities with lower h	ospitalization rates	[ED])*7						
	In this State	27	84	69	7	43	n/a	n/a	n/a
	In this Network	33	83	70	9	49	n/a	n/a	n/a
	In the U.S.	28	78	64	9	42	n/a	n/a	n/a
2x	Patients with ED visit (% of 2a)	51.7	66.7	64.1	57.5	59.9	63.1	62.0	61.2
2y	ED visits that result in hospitalization (% of 2t)	49.3	54.8	51.1	48.1	51.4	43.0	40.5	48.6
2z	Admissions that originate in the ED (% of 2i)	80.2	85.1	85.6	71.4	81.7	76.4	72.7	79.1
Rea	admission Statistics								
2aa	Index discharges (n)	86	108	93	67	n/a	82.0	65.6	79.8
2ab	Total readmissions (n)	30	39	29	18	n/a	21.4	16.7	21.7
2ac	Expected total readmissions (n)	29	33	25	17	n/a	22.4	18.9	22.3
2ad	Standardized Readmission Ratio (SRR)	1.04	1.18	1.16	1.09	n/a	1.0	1.0	1.0
2ae	P-value *5	0.856	0.426	0.544	0.736	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
2af	Confidence interval for SRR *6								
	High (97.5% limit)	1.25	1.56	1.60	1.59	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	Low (2.5% limit)	0.66	0.83	0.77	0.67	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

n/a = not applicable.

[*1] Based on patients with Medicare as primary insurer; see Guide, Section V.

[*2] Values are shown for the average facility, annualized.

[*3] Sum of 4 years used for calculations; should not be compared to regional averages.

[*4] Standardized Ratios are calculated as ratio of actual to expected events (2c/2d for days, 2i/2j for admissions, 2r/2s for ED visits, and 2ab/2ac for readmissions). SHRs are not shown if there are less than 5 patient years at risk.

SRR is not shown if fewer than 11 index discharges in the year.

[*5] A p-value less than 0.05 indicates that the difference between the actual and expected event is probably real and is not due to random chance alone, while a p-value greater than or equal to 0.05 indicates that the difference could plausibly be due to random chance.

[*6] The confidence interval range represents uncertainty in the value of the standardized hospitalization and readmission ratios (SHRs and SRR) due to random variation.

[*7] All facilities are included in ranking, regardless of the number of patient years at risk.

[*8] Includes diagnoses present at admission and diagnoses added during the hospital stay.

TABLE 3: Transplantation Summary for Dialysis Patients under Age 70 *1 , 2011-2014

				This Faci	lity		Regional Averages *2, per Year, 2011-2014			
	Measure Name	2011	2012	2013	2014	2011-2014	State	Network	U.S.	
3a	Eligible patients (n)	64	68	56	54	242 *10	66.0	54.9	64.0	
3b	Transplants (n)	4	4	2	4	14 *10	2.9	2.2	2.0	
3c	Donor type *3									
	Living donor (n)	1	1	1	0	3 *10	0.7	0.6	0.6	
	Deceased donor (n)	3	3	1	4	11 *10	2.2	1.6	1.4	
Pa	tients who have not Previously Received a	Transplant								
3d	Eligible patients (n)	52	55	43	43	193 *10	60.0	49.6	58.1	
3e	Patient years (PY) at risk (n)	32.0	35.2	32.7	29.6	129.4 *10	42.2	35.0	40.0	
3f	First transplants *4 (n)	3	3	2	2	10 *10	2.6	2.0	1.7	
3g	Expected first transplants (n)	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.2	5.6 *10	1.8	1.5	1.7	
Sta	andardized 1st Transplantation Ratio (ST	'R) *5								
3h	STR *6					1.80	1.42	1.30	1.00	
3i	P-value*7					0.113	n/a	n/a	n/a	
3j	Confidence interval for STR *8									
	High (97.5% limit)					3.31	n/a	n/a	n/a	
	Low (2.5% limit)					0.86	n/a	n/a	n/a	
3k	STR Percentiles for this Facility (i.e., % of facil	ities with lower transp	lantation r	ates) *9						
	In this State					75	n/a	n/a	n/a	
	In this Network					80	n/a	n/a	n/a	
	In the U.S.					82	n/a	n/a	n/a	

TABLE 4: Waitlist Summary for Dialysis Patients under Age 70 Treated on December 31st of Each Year *1, 2011-2014

			This Fa	cility		Regional Averages *2, 2014			
	Measure Name	2011	2012	2013	2014	State	Network	U.S.	
4a	Eligible patients on 12/31 (n)	49	42	42	33	52.0	44.9	47	
4b	Patients on the waitlist (% of 4a)	38.8	42.9	42.9	24.2	21.2	19.2	24.	
4c	P-value (compared to U.S. value) *11	0.017	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.561	n/a	n/a	n/a	
4d	Patients on the waitlist by subgroup (%) *12								
	Age < 40	50.0	62.5	57.1	0.0	35.0	30.1	36.1	
	Age 40-69	35.9	38.2	40.0	27.6	19.1	17.5	22.5	
	Male	44.4	38.1	50.0	26.7	21.7	19.8	25.2	
	Female	31.8	47.6	37.5	22.2	20.6	18.5	22.7	
	African American	33.3	33.3	37.5	16.7	21.5	19.7	22.5	
	Asian/Pacific Islander	30.0	41.7	41.7	33.3	26.7	26.5	35.7	
	Native American	·				10.4	14.2	17.7	
	White, Hispanic	0.0	25.0	66.7	25.0	21.3	18.3	27.2	
	White, non-Hispanic	46.4	50.0	42.1	21.4	20.3	18.6	22.9	
	Other/unknown race	100				9.1	10.0	22.6	
	Diabetes	44.4	38.5	46.2	36.4	16.0	14.2	19.8	
	Non-diabetes	35.5	44.8	41.4	18.2	25.6	23.5	27.7	
	Previous kidney transplant	66.7	55.6	63.6	14.3	37.8	33.8	43.6	
	No previous kidney transplant	29.7	39.4	35.5	26.9	19.4	17.7	22.4	
	< 2 years since start of ESRD	18.8	42.9	22.2	25.0	16.0	14.7	16.3	
	2-4 years since start of ESRD	54.5	30.0	45.5	25.0	25.6	23.5	29.0	
	5+ years since start of ESRD	45.5	50.0	50.0	23.5	23.1	20.7	27.9	

n/a = not applicable [*1] See *Guide, Sections VI* (Table 3) and *VII* (Table 4). [*2] Values are shown for the average facility. [*3] Values may not sum to 3b due to unknown donor type. [*4] Among first transplants that occurred after the start of dialysis from 2011-2014, 3.8% of transplants in the U.S. were not included because the transplant occurred fewer than 90 days after the start of ESRD and 1.1% were not included because the patient was not assigned to a facility at time of transplant. [*5] This section is calculated for the 4-year period only and not reported if there are fewer than 3 expected transplants. [*6] Standardized 1st Transplantation Ratio calculated as ratio of actual (3f) occurred (3g) transplants. [*7] A p-value less than 0.05 indicates that the difference between the actual and expected transplants is probably real and is not due to random chance, while a p-value greater than or equal to 0.05 indicates that the difference is plausibly due to random chance. [*8] The confidence interval range represents uncertainty in the value of the STR due to random variation. [*9] All facilities are included in ranking, regardless of the number of expected transplants. [*10] Sum of 4 years used for calculations; should not be compared to regional averages. [*11] Facility waitlist percentage is compared to the U.S. waitlist percentage for that year: 24.4% (2011), 24.4% (2012), 24.5% (2013), 24.1% (2014). A p-value greater than 0.05 indicates that the difference between percent of patients wailisted at the facility and national percentage is plausibly due to random chance. [*12] A missing value indicates that there were no eligible patients in the subgroup.

TABLE 5: Influenza Vaccination Summary for Medicare Dialysis Patients Treated on December 31st of Each Year *1, Flu Seasons August 2011-December 2014

			This Fa	acility	:	Regional Averages *2			
	Measure Name	2011	2012	2013	2014	State	Network	U.S.	
							2014		
5a	Eligible patients on 12/31 (n)	62	57	54	45	53.2	44.4	47.9	
5b	Patients vaccinated between Aug. 1 and Dec. 31 (% of 5a)	83.9	86.0	81.5	86.7	74.8	74.1	73.6	
5c	P-value *3 (for 5b compared to U.S. value *4)	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.055	0.028	n/a	n/a	n/a	
							2013		
5d	Patients vaccinated between Aug 1 and Mar 31 of following year (% of 5a)	83.9	87.7	83.3	n/a	74.5	72.2	71.7	
5e	P-value *3 (for 5d compared to U.S. value *5)	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.035	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
							2014		
5f	Patients vaccinated between Aug 1 and Dec 31 by subgroup (%) *6								
	Age < 18					40.0	50.0	53.2	
	Age 18-39	62.5	85.7	57.1	33.3	70.1	71.0	70.6	
	Age 40-64	78.6	81.3	87.5	93.8	75.6	75.2	74.2	
	Age 65-74	85.0	92.3	70.0	87.5	73.1	72.1	73.1	
	Age 75+	95.0	85.7	90.5	88.9	77.6	76.0	73.9	
	Male	83.8	81.3	81.5	86.4	75.3	74.2	73.6	
	Female	84.0	92.0	81.5	87.0	74.2	74.0	73.5	
	African American	100	100	66.7	80.0	73.0	72.1	71.5	
	Asian/Pacific Islander	86.7	94.4	94.1	93.8	81.2	80.4	76.6	
	Native American					67.1	62.0	79.3	
	White	83.3	80.0	77.4	82.6	74.1	74.1	74.6	
	Other/unknown race	0.0			100	80.0	69.2	63.9	
	Hispanic	75.0	100	100	100	82.2	82.0	75.9	
	< 1 year since start of ESRD	54.5	62.5	72.7	75.0	65.2	61.2	60.3	
	1-2 years since start of ESRD	82.4	88.9	66.7	92.9	73.8	73.8	72.9	
	3+ years since start of ESRD	94.1	90.0	86.5	85.2	77.8	77.8	77.3	

TABLE 6: Facility Modality, Anemia Management, and Dialysis Adequacy for Medicare Dialysis Patients *1, 2011-2014

			This Fa	cility	Regional Averages *2, 2014				
	Measure Name	2011	2012	2013	2014	State	Network	U.S.	
Mo	odality (among all dialysis patients with ESRD for 90+ d	ays and 1+ cla	im at this	s facility)					
6a	Patients treated during year (n)	133	127	112	105	93.3	74.7	72.9	
6b	Patient-months treated during year (n) *3	826	790	722	694	681.9	539.6	554.3	
6c	Modality (% of 6b; sums to 100%)								
	Hemodialysis	99.5	100	99.3	99.9	83.1	84.0	90.5	
	CAPD/CCPD	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.1	8.8	11.3	8.4	
	Other dialysis *4	0.5	0.0	0.3	0.0	8.1	4.8	1.1	
6d	Percent of patient-months prescribed iron by modality *5								
	Hemodialysis	49.3	45.7	45.3	33.5	54.2	55.7	61.3	
	CAPD/CCPD			0.0	0.0	21.8	21.5	25.1	
He	emoglobin (among ESA-treated dialysis patients with ES	SRD for 90+ da	ys and 4	+ hemog	lobin clain	ns at this fa	cility)		
6e	Eligible patients (n)	69	59	55	50	49.6	39.4	43.5	
6f	Average hemoglobin (g/dL)	11.1	10.6	10.4	10.4	10.5	10.5	10.5	
6g	Hemoglobin categories (% of 6e; sums to 100%)								
	< 10 g/dL	5.8	10.2	9.1	14.0	13.0	11.4	14.4	
	10-<11 g/dL	21.7	67.8	81.8	78.0	71.4	72.1	68.7	
	11-<12 g/dL	68.1	22.0	9.1	8.0	15.4	16.3	16.7	
	> 12 g/dL	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3	0.3	

n/a = not applicable

*1] Based on patients with Medicare as primary insurer; see *Guide, Section VIII.**2] Values are shown for the average facility.

*3] A p-value greater than or equal to 0.05 indicates that the difference between percent of patients vaccinated at the facility and national percentage is plausibly due to random chance.

*4] Compared to the U.S. value for that year and time period (8/1-12/31): 69.2% (2011), 70.1% (2012), 71.0% (2013), 73.6% (2014).

*5] Compared to the U.S. value for that year and time period (8/1-3/31): 69.8% (2011), 71.1% (2012), 71.7% (2013).

*6] A missing value indicates that there were no eligible patients in the subgroup.

TABLE 6 (cont.): Facility Modality, Hemoglobin, and Dialysis Adequacy for Medicare Dialysis Patients *1, 2011-2014

			This Fa	cility		Regional	Averages *2	, 2014
	Measure Name	2011	2012	2013	2014	State	Network	U.S.
He	moglobin (among ESA-treated dialysis patients with ESRI	D for 90+ da	ys and 4-	+ hemog	lobin clain	ns at this fac	cility) (cont.)
6h	Eligible hemodialysis (HD) patients (n)*6	69	59	55	50	45.9	36.0	40.3
6i	Hemoglobin categories among HD pts (% of 6h; sums to 100%)							
	< 10 g/dL	5.8	10.2	9.1	14.0	13.3	11.3	14.
	10-<11 g/dL	21.7	67.8	81.8	78.0	71.0	71.9	68.
	11-12 g/dL	68.1	22.0	9.1	8.0	15.4	16.5	16.
	> 12 g/dL	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.
6j	Eligible peritoneal dialysis (PD) patients (n) *6	0	0	0	0	4.4	4.1	3.
6k	Hemoglobin categories among PD pts (% of 6j; sums to 100%)							
	< 10 g/dL					18.4	20.5	25.
	10-<11 g/dL					63.7	63.3	57.
	11-12 g/dL					17.1	15.5	16.
	> 12 g/dL					0.8	0.7	0.
Sta	andardized Transfusion Ratio (STrR)							
6l	Adult Medicare patients (n)	65	60	62	60	69.3	59.7	61.
6m	Patient years (PY) at risk (n)	36	32	38	38	41.9	35.2	37.
6n	Total transfusions (n)	14	19	8	19	12.9	11.0	15.:
60	Expected total transfusions (n)	16.7	15.8	17.2	16.2	17.4	14.5	15.
6р	Standardized Transfusion Ratio *11	0.84	1.20	0.46	1.18	0.74	0.76	1.0
1	Upper Confidence Limit (97.5%)	2.37	2.87	1.71	2.69	n/a	n/a	n/
	Lower Confidence Limit (2.5%)	0.33	0.56	0.15	0.56	n/a	n/a	n/
6q	P-value *12	0.808	0.566	0.271	0.599	n/a	n/a	n/
-	ea Reduction Ratio (URR; among HD patients with ESRD							
6r	Eligible patients (n)	69	67	59	60	46.8	37.5	41.9
6s	URR 65+ (% of 6r; meets a KDOQI guideline)	97.1	98.5	100	100	98.5	98.6	98.
Ad	ult Kt/V (K = dialyzer clearance of urea; t = dialysis time;	V = patient'	s total bo	dy water	r) *8*9			
6t	Eligible adult HD patients (n)	133	123	102	94	77.9	63.2	65.9
6u	Eligible adult HD patient-months (n) *3	790	755	679	651	530.8	426.8	481.
6v	Adult HD: Average Kt/V *10	1.6	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.
6w	Kt/V categories among adult HD patients (% of 6u; sums to 100%)						
	<1.2	5.2	1.5	0.7	0.6	2.3	2.6	2.
	1.2-<1.4	6.2	9.7	9.4	5.2	10.2	11.0	15.
	1.4-<1.6	27.2	24.9	23.1	24.0	23.7	25.3	28.
	1.6-<1.8	30.4	28.5	25.2	30.7	26.7	27.1	26.
	>= 1.8	24.9	29.9	37.1	33.0	32.3	29.3	23.
	Missing/Out of range/Not performed/Expired	6.1	5.6	4.4	6.5	4.9	4.8	4.
6x	Adult HD: Kt/V >=1.2 (% of 6u) *10	88.7	93.0	94.8	92.9	92.8	92.6	93.
6у	Eligible adult peritoneal dialysis (PD) patients (n)	0	0	5	1	8.2	8.4	6.
6z	Eligible adult PD patient-months (n) *3	0	0	5	1	61.7	62.7	46.
6aa	Adult PD: Average Kt/V *10			2.2		2.3	2.3	2.
6ab	Kt/V categories among adult PD patients (% of 6z; sums to 100%))						
	<1.7			0.0	0.0	5.1	6.7	7.
	1.7-<1.9			0.0	0.0	15.0	13.9	17.
	1.9-<2.2			0.0	0.0	30.6	27.3	26.
	2.2-<2.5			20.0	0.0	19.4	19.2	16.
	>=2.5			0.0	0.0	24.3	25.6	22.
	Missing/Out of range/Not performed/Expired			80.0	100	5.7	7.2	8.4
6ac	Adult PD: Kt/V >=1.7 (% of 6z) *10			20.0	0.0	89.2	86.1	83.7

n/a = not applicable

*1] See Guide, Section IX. **2] Values are shown for the average facility. **3 Patients may be counted up to 12 times per year.

*4] Other dialysis includes patients who switch between HD and PD during the month and patients for whom modality is unknown or missing.

*5 Percent of patient months represented by the corresponding modality percent in 6c. **6 Sum of eligible HD and PD patients may not add to 6e.

*7] Claims identified as having 4 or more dialysis sessions per week were excluded from the URR calculations. Among eligible claims in the US, less than 2% were excluded due to frequent dialysis in 2011-2014.

*88] Claims identified as having 2 or fewer, or 4 or more adult dialysis sessions per week were excluded from the Kt/V calculations.

*99] Collection of the measures calculated in this section began in July 2010. Includes patients with Medicare as primary insurer and based on the value code D5: Result of last Kt/V.

*10] Values calculated based only on Kt/V values reported in range.

*11] Calculated as a ratio of observed transfusions to expected transfusions (6n to 60); not shown if there are fewer that 10 patient-years at risk for transfusions.

*12] A p-value less than 0.05 indicates that the difference between the actual and expected transfusion is probably real and is not due to random chance alone, while a p-value greater than or equal to 0.05 indicates that the difference could plausibly be due to random chance.

TABLE 7: Vascular Access Information *1 , CROWNWeb (May 2012 - December 2014)

			This Fa	cility		Regiona	ıl Averages *2	, 2014
	Measure Name	2011	2012	2013	2014	State	Network	U.S.
Va	scular Access							
7a	Prevalent hemodialysis patient-months *3 (n)		561	896	794	836.0	693.8	767.3
7b	Vascular access type in use (% of 7a; sums to 100%)							
	Arteriovenous fistula		71.1	70.3	74.6	70.7	69.0	62.3
	Arteriovenous graft		18.9	18.6	14.7	12.4	12.8	18.1
	Catheter		10.0	11.0	10.7	16.8	18.1	19.5
	Other/Missing		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7c	Arteriovenous fistulae in place (% of 7a) *4		72.2	73.2	75.2	72.4	71.3	64.4
7d	Catheter only $>= 90$ days (% of 7a)*5		2.9	1.9	4.4	6.8	7.4	8.7
Va	scular Access at First Treatment							
7e	Incident hemodialysis patients (n)		11	20	8	17.9	15.6	17.2
7f	Vascular access type in use (% of 7e; sums to 100%)							
	Arteriovenous fistula		45.5	40.0	50.0	28.7	26.2	18.1
	Arteriovenous graft		0.0	0.0	0.0	4.1	3.9	3.9
	Catheter		54.5	60.0	50.0	67.1	69.8	78.0
	Other/Missing		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0
7g	Arteriovenous fistulae in place (% of 7e) *4		45.5	40.0	50.0	30.7	29.8	22.0

TABLE 8: Dialysis Access Type and Access-Related Infection Summary for Medicare Dialysis Patients *1, 2011 - 2014

			This Fa	cility		Regiona	l Averages *2	, 2014
	Measure Name	2011	2012	2013	2014	State	Network	U.S.
Va	scular Access *6*7							
8a	Eligible hemodialysis patient-months (n)	864	833	767	725	609.5	492.2	545.0
8b	Hemodialysis vascular access type (% of 8a)							
	Vascular catheter	12.2	10.2	10.3	9.2	13.9	14.8	16.0
	Arteriovenous graft	3.4	15.1	18.3	13.5	13.0	13.0	19.8
	Arteriovenous fistula only	58.7	68.1	71.4	77.2	73.0	72.1	64.1
	Other (>1) *8	25.8	6.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
8c	Vascular catheter reported >3 consecutive months	2.0	3.1	5.3	5.5	8.5	9.1	10.2
He	modialysis (HD)							
8d	Eligible HD patients (n)	108	97	92	80	80.5	66.3	70.8
8e	Eligible HD patient-months *3	819	777	733	589	553.1	440.3	494.5
8f	HD infection rate per 100 hemodialysis patient-months *9	2.69	1.93	2.32	1.53	1.75	1.63	1.68
8g	P-value *10 for 8f (compared to U.S. value) *11	0.454	0.344	0.220	0.472	n/a	n/a	n/a
Per	ritoneal Dialysis (PD)							
8h	Eligible PD patients (n)	0	0	9	1	9.0	9.2	6.9
8i	Eligible PD patient-months *3	0	0	9	1	58.5	58.1	45.8
8j	PD catheter infection rate per 100 PD patient-months *9			0.00	0.00	3.58	2.87	3.10
8k	P-value *10 for 8j (compared to U.S. value) *12			0.756	0.968	n/a	n/a	n/a

n/a = not applicable

*1 | See Guide, Section X (Table 7) and Section XI (Table 8).

*2 | Values are shown for the average facility.

*3 | Patients may be counted up to 12 times per year.

*4 | Includes all patients with fistulae, regardless of whether or not they received their hemodialysis treatments using their fistulae.

*5 | Catheter was used for treatment and has been in place for 90 days or more prior to treatment. Patient does not have an fistula or graft in place. Catheter is only access. Port access devices are reported as catheters for this project.

*6 | Catheter was used for treatment and has been in place for future use, but they actually received their treatment through a graft or catheter.) Based on V modifiers including V5, V6, and V7 for catheter, graft, and fistula civith two needles), respectively.

*7 | Vascular access section includes adult patients only. Pediatric vascular access data can be found in the pediatric table.

*8 | Other includes patients with -1 access type; it does not include missing access type.

*9 | The ICD-9 infection code for HD patients is 996.62. The ICD-9 PD catheter infection code for PD patients is 996.68.

*10 | Other includes patients with -1 access type; it does not include missing access type.

*11 | Compared to U.S. value for that year: 2.58 (2011), 2.22 (2012), 1.87 (2013), and 1.68 (2014).

*12 | Compared to U.S. value for that year: 3.13 (2011), 3.08 (2012), 3.05 (2013), and 3.10 (2014).

Dialysis Facility Report for FY (FY) 2016 NKC LAKE WASHINGTON KIDNEY CENTER State: WA Network: 16 CCN: 502505

TABLE 9: Characteristics of New Dialysis Patients *1 , 2011-2014 (Form CMS-2728)

		Tì				Regional Averages *2		, 2014
	Measure Name	2011	2012	2013	2014	State	Network	U.S.
Pa	tient Characteristics							
9a	Total number of patients with forms (n)	24	23	19	10	18.8	17.1	17.5
9b	Average age (years [0-95]) *3	60.7	64.4	70.1	64.1	62.7	62.8	63.3
9c	Female (% of 9a)	50.0	34.8	73.7	30.0	43.0	41.9	42.5
9d	Race (% of 9a; sums to 100%) *4							
	African-American	0.0	0.0	15.8	20.0	8.2	5.7	26.7
	Asian/Pacific Islander	16.7	26.1	15.8	10.0	12.2	9.0	5.0
	Native American	4.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	2.5	0.9
	White	79.2	73.9	68.4	60.0	77.3	82.3	67.0
	Other/Unknown/Missing	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.8	0.5	0.3
9e	Hispanic (% of 9a)	0.0	4.3	0.0	10.0	7.8	7.9	15.0
9f	Primary cause of ESRD (% of 9a; sums to 100%)							
	Diabetes	25.0	34.8	57.9	60.0	49.1	47.7	47.1
	Hypertension	33.3	17.4	5.3	30.0	18.6	18.5	30.0
	Primary glomerulonephritis	20.8	21.7	15.8	0.0	10.8	11.4	7.5
	Other/Unknown	20.8	26.1	21.1	10.0	21.6	22.4	15.5
9g	Medical coverage (% of 9a; sums to 100%)							
- 0	Employer group only	12.5	26.1	10.5	30.0	12.3	12.2	12.6
	Medicare only	8.3	13.0	47.4	30.0	25.0	27.8	29.6
	Medicaid only	4.2	17.4	10.5	0.0	12.1	11.6	12.2
	Medicare and Medicaid only	20.8	13.0	10.5	20.0	13.6	11.4	13.5
	Medicare and other	33.3	21.7	21.1	0.0	22.9	22.0	19.5
	Other/Unknown	20.8	8.7	0.0	20.0	11.3	10.8	7.2
	None	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.7	4.2	5.3
9h	Body Mass Index *5 (Median; Weight/Height^2)							
,	Male	24.5	26.0	26.1	28.7	28.1	28.2	27.8
	Female	30.0	25.5	26.1	32.0	29.0	29.0	29.0
9i	Employment *6							
71	Six months prior to ESRD treatment	70.0	63.6	33.3	80.0	32.1	32.4	30.6
	At first ESRD treatment	60.0	54.5	33.3	40.0	25.1	24.7	21.8
		00.0	54.5	33.3	40.0	23.1	24.7	21.0
9j	Primary modality (% of 9a; sums to 100%)	100	100	0.4.2	00.0	00.0	07.5	00.2
	Hemodialysis	100	100	84.2	90.0	90.8	87.5	90.2
	CAPD/CCPD	0.0	0.0	15.8	10.0	9.2	12.5	9.8
	Other/Unknown/Missing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9k	Number of incident hemodialysis patients (n)	24	23	16	9	17.1	14.9	15.8
91	Access used at first outpatient dialysis (% of 9k; sums to 100%)							
	Arteriovenous fistula	12.5	43.5	43.8	44.4	27.5	25.7	16.7
	Arteriovenous graft	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.7	3.6	2.9
	Catheter	75.0	56.5	56.3	55.6	68.5	70.2	80.1
	Other/Unknown/Missing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.3
9m	Arteriovenous fistula placed (% of 9k)	33.3	52.2	68.8	44.4	44.7	44.2	33.8
Av	erage Lab Values Prior to Dialysis *3							
9n	Hemoglobin (g/dL [3-18])	9.8	9.4	9.5	9.2	9.5	9.6	9.4
90	Serum albumin (g/dL [0.8-6.0])	3.7	3.8	3.6	3.6	3.3	3.3	3.1

TABLE 9 (cont.): Characteristics of New Dialysis Patients *1 , 2011-2014 (Form CMS-2728)

			Regional Averages *2, 2014					
	Measure Name	2011	2012	2013	2014	State	Network	U.S.
Av	erage Lab Values Prior to Dialysis *3							
9p	Serum creatinine (mg/dL [2-33])	6.5	6.8	6.9	7.8	6.5	6.2	6.
9q	GFR (mL/min [0-60])	10.2	10.3	7.8	8.6	10.1	10.6	10.
Ca	re Prior to ESRD Therapy							
9r	Received ESA prior to ESRD (% of 9a)	37.5	30.4	36.8	0.0	21.7	21.1	14.
9s	Pre-ESRD nephrologist care (% of 9a; sums to 100%) *8							
	No	12.5	8.7	5.3	10.0	18.8	19.5	24
	Yes, < 6 months	33.3	13.0	21.1	10.0	17.6	15.2	13
	Yes, 6-12 months	12.5	8.7	15.8	20.0	18.4	19.7	18
	Yes, > 12 months	41.7	60.9	52.6	60.0	41.8	41.2	29
	Unknown/Missing	0.0	8.7	5.3	0.0	3.5	4.4	13
9t	Informed of transplant options (% of 9a)	62.5	60.9	52.6	50.0	70.4	74.3	83
9u	Patients not informed of transplant options (n)	9	9	9	5	5.2	4.0	2
9v	Reason not informed (% of 9u; may not sum to 100%)							
	Medically unfit	44.4	33.3	44.4	40.0	45.9	46.8	35
	Unsuitable due to age	11.1	22.2	11.1	0.0	10.2	13.6	25
	Psychologically unfit	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	3.1	3
	Patient declined information	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	1.4	1.5	1
	Patient has not been assessed	44.4	44.4	44.4	20.0	37.1	37.1	39
Co	morbid Conditions							
9w	Pre-existing comorbidity (% yes of 9a)							
	Congestive heart failure	33.3	39.1	42.1	30.0	29.9	29.0	29
	Atherosclerotic heart disease *7	29.2	30.4	21.1	40.0	18.9	19.8	14
	Other cardiac disorder *7	4.2	8.7	47.4	10.0	19.9	17.8	19
	CVD, CVA, TIA	8.3	13.0	21.1	0.0	10.1	9.4	8
	Peripheral vascular disease	20.8	8.7	15.8	20.0	11.5	12.3	10
	History of hypertension	79.2	91.3	100	100	85.7	85.3	87
	Diabetes *7	37.5	43.5	73.7	70.0	62.2	60.4	61
	Diabetes on insulin	33.3	30.4	57.9	40.0	46.3	43.9	40
	COPD	8.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.9	7.7	ç
	Current smoker	0.0	0.0	5.3	0.0	6.6	7.1	6
	Cancer	16.7	4.3	15.8	0.0	8.5	8.6	7
	Alcohol dependence	4.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.7	1.7	1
	Drug dependence	4.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.2	1.8	1
	Inability to ambulate	0.0	4.3	0.0	0.0	4.8	4.4	6
	Inability to transfer	0.0	4.3	0.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	3
9x	Average number of comorbid conditions	2.8	2.8	4.0	3.1	3.2	3.1	3

n/a= not applicable

[*1] See Guide, Section XII.

[*2] Values are shown for the average facility.

[*3] For continuous variables, summaries include only responses in range indicated in brackets.

[*4] Asian' includes Indian sub-continent. 'Native American' includes Alaskan Native. 'White' includes Middle Eastern and Arabian.

[*5] The median BMI is computed for adult patients at least 20 years old with height, weight and BMI values in acceptable range. Acceptable range for height, weight and BMI are 122-208cm, 32-318 kg and 10-55 respectively.

[*6] Full-time, part-time, or student (% of 18-60 year olds).

[*7] 'Atherosclerotic heart disease' includes ischemic heart disease (coronary artery disease) and myocardial infarction. 'Other cardiac disorder' includes cardiac arrest, cardiac dysrhythmia, and pericarditis. 'Diabetes' includes patients with diabetes as the primary cause of ESRD.

[*8] Values may not sum to exactly 100% because of patients that received nephrology care but duration unknown. (0.03% in US in 2014).

TABLE 10: Summaries for All Dialysis Patients Treated as of December 31st of Each Year *1 , 2011-2014

		This Facility			Regional Averages *2, 2014			
	Measure Name	2011	2012	2013	2014	State	Network	U.S.
10a	Patients treated on 12/31 (n)	75	68	66	54	71.2	61.3	66.0
10b	Average age (years)	62.0	62.8	62.6	64.4	61.3	61.2	61.6
10c	Age (% of 10a; sums to 100%)							
	< 18	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.3
	18-64	44.0	47.1	50.0	48.1	54.3	54.4	54.8
	65+	56.0	52.9	50.0	51.9	45.4	45.2	45.0
10d	Female (% of 10a)	46.7	45.6	48.5	50.0	44.4	43.4	43.9
10e	Race (% of 10a; sums to 100%) *3							
	African American	6.7	8.8	13.6	11.1	12.0	8.5	36.0
	Asian/Pacific Islander	25.3	32.4	33.3	31.5	13.4	9.6	5.5
	Native American	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	3.1	1.2
	White	65.3	58.8	53.0	55.6	73.0	78.6	57.1
	Other/Unknown/Missing	1.3	0.0	0.0	1.9	0.2	0.2	0.2
10f	Ethnicity (% of 10a; sums to 100%)							
	Hispanic	6.7	7.4	6.1	7.4	12.1	11.9	18.2
	Non-Hispanic	93.3	92.6	93.9	92.6	87.8	88.1	81.7
	Unknown	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1
10g	Primary Cause of ESRD (% of 10a; sums to 100%)							
	Diabetes	37.3	38.2	31.8	35.2	45.6	44.7	44.9
	Hypertension	21.3	22.1	24.2	24.1	22.2	22.3	32.2
	Glomerulonephritis	20.0	17.6	21.2	16.7	15.9	16.6	11.2
	Other/Unknown	21.3	22.1	22.7	24.1	16.1	16.2	10.9
	Missing	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.8
10h	Average duration of ESRD (years)	5.9	6.8	7.2	6.6	4.9	4.8	4.8
10i	Years since start of ESRD (% of 10a; sums to 100%)							
	< 1	20.0	16.2	19.7	13.0	16.2	16.8	16.1
	1-2	8.0	13.2	9.1	22.2	18.0	18.2	17.1
	2-3	20.0	7.4	9.1	7.4	14.3	14.1	13.6
	3-6	20.0	27.9	22.7	20.4	26.0	26.0	26.8
	6+	32.0	35.3	39.4	37.0	25.5	24.8	26.5
10j	Nursing home patients (% of 10a)*4	6.7	7.4	13.6	18.5	13.1	12.9	14.1
10k	Modality (% of 10a; sums to 100%)							
	In-center hemodialysis	98.7	100	100	100	85.2	83.2	87.8
	Home hemodialysis	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.3	2.9	1.8
	Continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.6	2.2	1.7
	Continuous cycling peritoneal dialysis	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.6	11.3	8.2
	Other modality *5	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.4

n/a = not applicable

[*1] See Guide, Section XIII.

[*2] Values are shown for the average facility.

[*3] Vasian' includes Indian sub-continent. 'Native American' includes Alaskan Native. 'White' includes Middle Eastern and Arabian.

[*4] Includes patients who were also treated by a nursing facility at any time during the year. The source of nursing facility history of patients is the Nursing Home Minimum Dataset.

[*5] Other modality includes other dialysis, uncertain modality, and patients not on dialysis but still temporarily assigned to the facility (discontinued dialysis, recovered renal function, and lost to follow up).

TABLE 11: Comorbidities Reported on Medicare Claims for Medicare Dialysis Patients Treated as of December 31st of Each Year *1, 2011-2014

		:	This Facility				Regional Averages *2, 2014			
	Measure Name	2011	2012	2013	2014	State	Network	U.S.		
11a	Medicare dialysis patients on 12/31 (n)	60	56	53	48	54.7	46.5	50.7		
11b	Comorbidity (% yes of 11a)									
	Infections									
	AIDS/HIV positive	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.5	1.8		
	Dialysis access-related	13.3	5.4	17.0	6.3	12.3	11.6	12.4		
	Hepatitis B	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	2.3		
	Hepatitis other	8.3	8.9	1.9	6.3	6.0	5.4	6.0		
	Metastatic	6.7	5.4	9.4	4.2	3.9	3.8	3.8		
	Pneumonia	5.0	5.4	11.3	4.2	5.3	5.1	5.7		
	Tuberculosis	0.0	3.6	5.7	0.0	0.6	0.4	0.6		
	Other	53.3	60.7	47.2	45.8	40.1	39.9	44.9		
	Cardiovascular									
	Cardiac arrest	3.3	1.8	1.9	2.1	1.3	1.4	1.6		
	Cardiac dysrhythmia	41.7	42.9	35.8	37.5	34.7	34.3	37.1		
	Cerebrovascular disease	26.7	28.6	28.3	25.0	23.3	20.8	25.7		
	Congestive heart failure	38.3	46.4	41.5	45.8	45.8	44.9	51.1		
	Ischemic heart disease	45.0	42.9	43.4	45.8	41.1	41.7	48.8		
	Myocardial infarction	11.7	12.5	5.7	4.2	7.2	7.3	8.8		
	Peripheral vascular disease *3	56.7	53.6	41.5	43.8	39.2	37.0	42.7		
	Other									
	Alcohol dependence	3.3	1.8	1.9	2.1	3.2	3.3	3.0		
	Anemia	13.3	3.6	3.8	2.1	4.1	4.4	8.1		
	Cancer	20.0	12.5	17.0	12.5	10.1	10.2	10.9		
	Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	15.0	19.6	28.3	20.8	29.2	29.9	32.0		
	Diabetes	58.3	55.4	49.1	54.2	60.3	59.6	64.9		
	Drug dependence	1.7	3.6	0.0	4.2	5.2	5.0	2.6		
	Gastrointestinal tract bleeding	3.3	7.1	1.9	10.4	2.9	2.7	3.1		
	Hyperparathyroidism	96.7	100	100	100	90.1	86.5	88.4		
11c	Average number of comorbid conditions	5.2	5.2	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.6	5.1		

TABLE 12: How Patients Were Assigned to This Facility and End of Year Patient Status *1 , 2011-2014

			This Facility				Regional Averages *2, 2014		
	Measure Name	2011	2012	2013	2014	State	Network	U.S.	
12a	Number of patients placed in facility *1 (n)	111	109	95	86	102.3	88.5	90.3	
12b	Initial patient placement for the year (% of 12a; sums to 100%)								
	Continuing at facility on 01/01	73.0	67.9	71.6	76.7	68.7	67.7	70.8	
	Incident (new to ESRD)	19.8	21.1	17.9	15.1	17.3	17.5	17.8	
	Transferred into facility	7.2	11.0	10.5	8.1	14.0	14.7	11.4	
12c	Patient status at end of year (% of 12a; sums to 100%)								
	Alive in this facility on 12/31	67.6	62.4	69.5	62.8	69.6	69.3	73.2	
	Alive in another facility on 12/31	7.2	15.6	9.5	17.4	10.5	11.2	8.5	
	Received a transplant	4.5	4.6	2.1	8.1	3.4	3.0	2.4	
	Died; death attributed to this facility	14.4	13.8	15.8	10.5	13.3	12.8	12.5	
	Died; death attributed to another facility	4.5	1.8	1.1	0.0	1.3	1.6	1.4	
	Other*3	1.8	1.8	2.1	1.2	1.9	2.1	2.2	

n/a = not applicable
[*1] Based on patients with Medicare as primary insurer on 12/31 each year. See *Guide, Section XIV*.
[*2] Values are shown for the average facility.
[*3] Peripheral vascular disease includes venous, arterial and nonspecific peripheral vascular diseases.

^[*1] Patient assignment for Tables 1, 2, 3, 10, 11 and 12 only. See Guide, Section XV.
[*2] Values are shown for the average facility.
[*3] Also includes dialysis unrelated deaths. Dialysis unrelated deaths are not attributed to any facility for the purposes of the mortality calculations in this report.

TABLE 13: Patient and Staff Counts from the Annual Facility Survey (Form CMS-2744) *1, 2011-2014

		:	This Fa	cility		Regiona	l Averages *2	, 2014
	Measure Name	2011	2012	2013	2014	State	Network	U.S.
Pat	tients Treated During the Year							
13a	Patients treated during year (n)	132	129	124	106	118.1	101.7	103.2
13b	Incident patients (% of 13a)	21.2	18.6	14.5	11.3	16.5	17.4	16.8
13c	Transferred into facility (% of 13a)	14.4	11.6	16.9	16.0	17.8	17.0	15.1
13d	Transferred out of facility (% of 13a)	15.2	22.5	22.6	28.3	17.1	17.1	14.9
Pat	tients Treated on 12/31							
13e	Patients treated (n)	86	79	76	60	78.7	67.6	71.7
13f	Patient modality (n; sums to 13e)							
	In-center HD	86	79	76	60	67.5	56.7	63.3
	Frequency <= 4 times per week	86	79	76	60	67.4	56.7	63.3
	Frequency > 4 times per week	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	In-center CAPD *3	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	In-center CCPD*3	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	In-center Other *3	0	0	0	0	0.1	0.0	0.0
	Home HD	0	0	0	0	2.4	1.8	1.3
	Frequency <= 4 times per week	0	0	0	0	0.5	0.3	0.5
	Frequency > 4 times per week	0	0	0	0	1.9	1.4	0.8
	Home CAPD	0	0	0	0	1.3	1.6	1.4
	Home CCPD	0	0	0	0	7.4	7.4	5.7
	Home Other*3	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13g	Vocational rehabilitation: Patients aged 18-54 (n)	28	24	27	18	23.2	20.1	21.2
	Employed (full or part-time) (% of 13g)	35.7	8.3	40.7	33.3	25.1	23.8	16.0
	Attending school (full or part-time) (% of 13g)	3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.9	3.3	1.1
13h	Medicare eligibility status (% of 13e; sums to 100% *4)							
	Medicare	83.7	81.0	80.3	78.3	84.9	87.7	74.8
	Medicare application pending	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.1	1.4
	Non-Medicare	9.3	19.0	19.7	21.7	14.1	11.2	23.8
Fac	cility Staffing on 12/31*5							
13i	Total full and part time staff positions (n)	22	22	19	18	18.6	15.7	14.4
13j	Staff positions by type (n; sums to 13i)							
	Full time nurse *6	5	6	5	5	4.8	4.4	5.0
	Full time patient care technician	7	5	4	2	6.6	6.0	5.6
	Full time renal dietician	0	0	0	0	0.5	0.5	0.6
	Full time social worker	0	0	1	0	0.5	0.5	0.6
	Part time nurse *6	4	5	5	2	2.3	1.4	0.8
	Part time patient care technician	3	4	4	7	2.4	1.4	0.7
	Part time renal dietician	2	1	0	1	0.8	0.7	0.5
	Part time social worker	1	1	0	1	0.7	0.7	0.5

^[*1] See Guide, Section XVI
[*2] Values are shown for the average facility.
[*3] Due to rounding, regional average may be slightly greater than 0 (<0.05).
[*4] Values may not sum to exactly 100% because of unknown Medicare status.
[*5] Data as of May 31, 2015. A full time position is defined as a position with at least 32 hours of employment per week, and a part time position is defined as a position with less than 32 hours of employment per week (includes positions that were opened but not filled on this date).
[*6] Nursing staff includes registered nurse, licensed practical nurse, vocational nurse, or advanced practice nurse degree.

Dialysis Facility Report for FY (FY) 2016 NKC LAKE WASHINGTON KIDNEY CENTER State: WA Network: 16 CCN: 502505

TABLE 14: CROWNWeb Clinical Data *1 , May 2012-2014

		This Facility		cility		Regional Averages *2, 2014		
	Measure Name	2011	2012	2013	2014	State	Network	U.S.
14a	Eligible patients (n) *3		90	92	81	95.7	82.4	85.2
14b	Eligible patient-months (n) *4		543	776	679	817.6	699.6	751.7
14c	Eligible HD patients (n)*5		90	92	81	85.4	71.7	78.3
14d	Eligible HD patient-months (n) *4		543	776	679	726.2	604.8	689.2
14e	Eligible PD patients (n) *5		0	0	0	21.3	21.3	20.5
14f	Eligible PD patient-months (n) *4		0	0	0	168.4	165.4	163.1
	modialysis Adequacy *9							
14g	Eligible HD Kt/V patients (n) *7		77	86	71	69.5	56.6	62.3
14h	Eligible HD Kt/V patient-months (n) *7		472	682	567	520.0	408.6	488.3
14i	Average Kt/V *6 (average of 14h)		1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.0
14j	Kt/V categories (% of 14h; sums to 100%)							
J	<1.2		0.6	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.3	2.2
	1.2-<1.8		65.5	62.6	64.7	57.0	57.3	60.:
	>=1.8		33.1	35.8	33.7	32.4	30.5	25.
	Missing/Out of range		0.8	1.2	1.2	8.4	9.9	12.
14k	Average normalized protein catabolic rate (nPCR) *6 (average of 14d)		1.0	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.0	0.
141	nPCR Missing/Out of range (% of 14d)		12.0	3.7	2.2	27.3	27.1	35.
14m	Ultrafiltration Rate: Average *6 (ml/kg/hr) (average of 14d)		5.6	5.7	5.9	7.2	7.6	8.
14n	Ultrafiltration Rate categories (% of 14d; sums to 100%)							
	<=13 (ml/kg/hr)		79.9	88.1	87.8	64.9	62.1	55
	>13 (ml/kg/hr)		2.8	3.4	6.2	6.3	7.1	8.
	Missing/Out of range		17.3	8.5	6.0	28.8	30.8	35.
Per	itoneal Dialysis Adequacy *8*9							
14o	Average weekly Kt/V *6 (average of 14f)		•			2.3	2.3	2.
14p	Weekly Kt/V categories (% of 14f; sums to 100%)							
	<1.7		ē			3.7	4.6	5.
	1.7-<2.5		•			57.8	55.5	54.0
	>=2.5		•			24.6	26.7	22.
	Missing/Out of range		•			13.8	13.2	18.
14q	Average normalized protein catabolic rate (nPCR) *6 (average of 14f)					0.9	0.9	0.
14r	nPCR Missing/Out of range (% of 14f)					37.7	34.1	45.
And	emia							
14s	Average hemoglobin *6 (g/dL) (average of 14b)		10.8	10.7	10.6	10.8	10.9	10.
14t	Hemoglobin categories (% of 14b; sums to 100%)							
	<10 g/dL		20.3	24.0	27.2	19.9	18.5	20.
	10-<11 g/dL		27.8	36.5	34.9	36.2	35.0	34.
	11-12 g/dL		27.3	26.7	26.5	28.4	28.6	27.
	>12 g/dL		12.9	9.5	9.6	11.9	13.5	11.
	Missing/Out of range		11.8	3.4	1.8	3.6	4.4	6.
14u	ESA prescribed (% of 14b)		82.3	93.0	92.5	75.4	65.6	65.0

TABLE 14 (cont.): CROWNWeb Clinical Data *1, May 2012-2014

		This Facility			Regional Averages *2, 2014			
	Measure Name	2011	2012	2013	2014	State	Network	U.S.
Iro	n							
14v	Average reticulocyte hemoglobin content (CHr) *6 (average of 14b)					33.4	33.4	31.0
14w	CHr categories (% of 14b; sums to 100%)							
	<29 pg		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.4
	>=29 pg		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	2.9	2.0
	Missing/Out of range		100	100	100	99.4	97.0	97.7
14x	Average transferrin saturation (TSAT)*6 (average of 14b)		33.2	33.4	33.5	30.9	31.1	32.3
14y	TSAT categories (% of 14b; sums to 100%)							
	<20%		5.9	7.3	6.9	11.1	12.3	10.7
	>=20%		30.2	32.7	32.5	52.3	61.1	67.0
	Missing/Out of range		63.9	59.9	60.5	36.6	26.6	22.3
14z	Average ferritin*6 (ng/ml) (average of 14b)		1092.1	1161.3	1170.2	870.5	828.6	848.0
14aa	Ferritin categories (% of 14b; sums to 100%)							
	<200 ng/ml		0.4	0.6	0.7	2.3	3.0	2.7
	>=200 ng/ml		36.8	40.1	39.3	49.1	49.6	48.4
	Missing/Out of range		62.8	59.3	59.9	48.6	47.5	48.9
14ab	Intravenous iron prescribed (% of 14b)		50.3	55.3	42.7	55.5	49.5	51.0
14ac	Oral iron prescribed (% of 14b)		1.7	4.6	4.7	1.6	1.2	1.0
Mi	neral Metabolism							
14ad	Average phosphorous *6 (mg/dL) (average of 14b)		5.3	5.7	5.4	5.3	5.3	5.2
14ae	Phosphorous categories (% of 14b; sums to 100%)							
	<3.5 mg/dL		9.6	8.4	7.7	8.2	8.1	8.9
	3.5-4.5 mg/dL		22.8	21.6	26.4	24.8	25.0	25.0
	4.6-5.5 mg/dL		23.2	22.0	23.9	28.5	28.6	28.8
	5.6-7.0 mg/dL		21.2	24.4	25.2	21.7	21.0	19.4
	>7.0 mg/dL		11.4	20.1	15.2	13.3	12.6	11.0
	Missing/Out of range		11.8	3.5	1.8	3.6	4.8	7.0
14af	Average calcium uncorrected *6 (mg/dL) (average of 14b)		9.2	9.2	9.2	9.0	9.0	9.0
14ag	Calcium uncorrected categories (% of 14b; sums to 100%)							
	<8.4 mg/dL		9.9	12.1	13.5	14.8	14.1	14.9
	8.4-10.2 mg/dL		76.1	79.9	79.8	76.9	76.3	73.6
	>10.2 mg/dL		2.2	4.6	4.9	4.5	4.6	4.2
	Missing/Out of range		11.8	3.4	1.8	3.8	5.0	7.4

n/a = not applicable.

[*1] See Guide, Section XVII.

[*2] Values are shown for the average facility.

*3] 14a includes those who switch between HD and PD during the month and patients for whom modality is unknown.

*4] Patients may be counted up to 12 times per year.

*5] Sum of eligible HD and PD patients may not add to 14a.

*6[Based on in-range values; see Guide for range values.

*7] KIV Summaries are restricted to patients who dialyze thrice weekly.

*8] The PD Adequacy section uses the most recent value over a 4-month look-back period. Therefore, reporting for PD in this table begins with August 2012 which includes a look-back through May 2012.

*9] A missing value indicates that there were no eligible patients in the subgroup.

TABLE 15: Survey and Certification Activity*1

			Regional Averages			
	Measure Name	This Facility	State	Network	U.S.	
15a	Date of last survey	06/24/2010	n/a	n/a	n/a	
15b	Type of last survey	Recertification	n/a	n/a	n/a	
15c	Compliance condition after last survey	Acceptable plan of correction	n/a	n/a	n/a	
15d	Number of deficiencies cited at last survey					
	Condition for coverage (CfC) deficiencies	0	0.1	0.3	0.3	
	Standard deficiencies	7	8.2	5.6	5.9	
15e	CfC deficiencies cited at last survey *2					
	V100 Compliance with Fed., State, and Local Laws	No, not cited	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	V110 Infection Control	No, not cited	1.2	2.5	5.9	
	V175 Water and Dialysate Quality	No, not cited	3.5	4.4	3.6	
	V300 Reuse of Hemodialysis and Bloodlines	No, not cited	0.0	0.0	0.4	
	V400 Physical Environment	No, not cited	0.0	1.5	2.4	
	V450 Patient Rights	No, not cited	0.0	0.5	0.3	
	V500 Patient Assessment	No, not cited	0.0	1.0	2.2	
	V540 Patient Plan of Care	No, not cited	0.0	3.0	2.8	
	V580 Care at Home	No, not cited	0.0	0.5	0.5	
	V625 Quality Assessment & Performance Improvement	No, not cited	1.2	3.4	4.4	
	V660 Special Purpose Renal Dialysis Facilities	No, not cited	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	V675 Laboratory Services	No, not cited	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	V680 Personnel Qualifications	No, not cited	0.0	1.5	0.7	
	V710 Responsibilities of the Medical Director	No, not cited	1.2	3.0	3.8	
	V725 Medical Records	No, not cited	0.0	0.5	0.3	
	V750 Governance	No, not cited	5.8	4.4	3.5	

TABLE 16: Facility Information *1 , 2015

Characteristic	This Facility
Ownership	Non-profit
Organization	NORTHWEST KIDNEY CENTERS
Initial Medicare certification date	01/01/1981
Number of stations	18
Services provided	Hemodialysis and Peritoneal Dialysis
Shifts after 5:00 pm	Yes
Dialyzer Reuse	
CMS Certification Number (CCN) included in this report	502505
National Provider Identifier (NPI) *2	1215022876

^[*1] Information based on data reported in CROWNWeb as of June, 2015. If missing, data were not available. [*2] Information based on CROWNWeb data as of December 2014. If missing, data were not available.

n/a = not applicable [*1] See *Guide, Section XVIII.* Data on this table are from the facility's latest survey since January 2009. If your facility has not been surveyed since January 2009, facility-level data on this table will be missing. [*2] Regional values are the percentage of surveys that were cited for the respective CfC deficiency.